AI-Mediated Impacts of Equipment, Compliance, and Policy on Railway Management Efficiency: A Case Study of the Beijing Railway Bureau

Junxiao Zhang[1] and Srochinee Siriwattana[2]
Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Thailand
Email: srochinee.si@ssru.ac.th[2]

Received: December 16, 2025 Revised: December 23, 2025 Accepted: December 31, 2025

Abstract

This research uses quantitative and qualitative research. mixed research methods, in-depth mixed-methods investigation, delving into the intricate ways in which artificial intelligence influences railway management efficiency through the mediating effects of policy factors, equipment status, and operational compliance among railway organizations in China. In an effort to comprehensively understand this complex relationship, the study combines a meticulously designed 751sample survey with 20 in-depth interviews. The survey sample is carefully selected to cover a wide range of railway sectors and organizational scales across China, ensuring representativeness. The in-depth interviews, on the other hand, are conducted with key stakeholders, including senior railway managers, operations directors, AI implementation specialists, equipment maintenance supervisors, and policy compliance officers, to gain rich qualitative insights. Research Objectives: (1) To analyze the impacts of equipment status, operational compliance, and policy factors on railway management efficiency in the Beijing Railway Bureau, China. (2)To examine how AI mediates the relationships between internal and policy factors and to propose an implementation framework for enhanced efficiency. This research aims to explore the elaborate mechanisms by which artificial intelligence, policy factors, equipment status, and operational compliance interact and jointly affect railway management efficiency, with the ultimate goal of enhancing the operational performance and safety capabilities of Chinese railway organizations in an increasingly complex and technology-driven transportation landscape. Literature Review use: Overview of Railway Management Efficiency in China, Underpinning Theory. (1) Systems Theory of Accident Causation. (2) Artificial Intelligence Theory. (3) Equipment Reliability Theory. The key findings of this study are both significant and far-reaching. It has been clearly demonstrated that artificial intelligence implementation exerts a positive and profound impact on policy implementation effectiveness, equipment performance optimization, and operational compliance monitoring initiatives. A well-integrated AI system serves as the cornerstone for promoting enhanced policy execution, equipment management, and compliance adherence within railway organizations. For instance, it streamlines policy. Quantitative Phase: A structured questionnaire is distributed to the sampled population, and quantitative data is analyzed to test relationships among core variables such as equipment status, compliance, policy, AI application, and management efficiency.

The results indicate that Qualitative Phase: Following the quantitative analysis, semi-structured interviews are conducted with selected informants to explore deeper explanations and contextual factors underlying the survey results. The phase-sequenced methodology serves to expand, enhance, and clarify findings through methodological triangulation. This approach, aligned with the "compatibility hypothesis," supports the pursuit of more comprehensive, nuanced, and actionable research conclusions Practical Guidance: The findings offer evidence-based guidance for stakeholders: to achieve operational excellence, railway organizations must focus on strengthening AI implementation to optimize policy execution, enhance equipment performance, and ensure comprehensive operational compliance. This validates strategic documents like China's "Intelligent Railway Development Outline." In short, AI is not just a technology but a critical enabler that transforms traditional railway management into an intelligent, data-driven ecosystem, maximizing efficiency and ensuring long-term sustainability in a complex transportation environment.

Keywords: Railway Management Efficiency, Artificial Intelligence, Equipment Status

Introduction

Railway Management Efficiency (RME) stemming from the complex interaction of equipment status, operational compliance, and policy factors. While Artificial Intelligence (AI) offers potential solutions, the research suffers from three critical gaps, especially within the context of China's centralized railway system. Conventional, reactive maintenance strategies are insufficient for the scale and complexity of modern railway networks, leading to suboptimal equipment conditions and reduced operational efficiency (Dinmohammadi et al., 2016). Policy and Compliance Gaps. There is a persistent difficulty in translating policy intentions (regulatory reforms, strategic initiatives) into operational realities and effective compliance standards, which hinders efficient management (Dawson et al., 2016; Evans, 2020). The application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) for railway management efficiency offers potential solutions to these challenges. Ghofrani and co. (2018) investigate AI's potential in enhancing railway efficiency through predictive maintenance, real-time monitoring, and decision-support systems. However, the implementation of AI in operationally complex railway systems also raises new concerns regarding implementation costs, system integration, and organizational adaptation. Furthermore, the interaction between human managers and AI systems introduces additional complexities. Naweed and co. (2018) examine the human factors involved in railway operations, emphasizing the need for careful consideration of the human-AI interface within management systems. Despite AI's potential advantages, there remains a scarcity of comprehensive research on its role as a mediator in addressing the impacts of equipment status, operational compliance, and policy factors. Thaduri and co. (2015) highlight the need for integrated approaches that consider multiple variables in the analysis of railway management efficiency. However, the significance of AI in these integrated frameworks is not fully explored, particularly in the Chinese railway context where centralized management systems and rapid technological adoption create unique implementation conditions. Under China's centralized railway management system, how does artificial intelligence mediate the relationships between equipment status, compliance operations, policy factors, and railway management efficiency.

Research Objectives

1. To analyze the impacts of equipment status, operational compliance, and policy factors on railway management efficiency in the Beijing Railway Bureau, China.
2. To examine how AI mediates the relationships between internal and policy factors and to propose an implementation framework for enhanced efficiency.

Literature Review

Overview of Railway Management Efficiency in China

China’s railway system now one of the largest and most technologically advanced in the world has seen major improvements in management efficiency alongside its rapid expansion. These gains are driven by several key factors: In recent years, China has achieved remarkable improvements in railway management efficiency. According to Bo et al. (2022), operational indicators such as train punctuality, resource utilization, and service quality have significantly improved, particularly in the high-speed rail sector, which now demonstrates world-class operational efficiency metrics. This progress stems from a combination of factors, including technological innovation, modernized management approaches, and performance-oriented organizational reforms. Operational Performance. Indicators such as punctuality, resource use, and service quality have improved significantly, particularly within the high-speed rail sector. Systematic Management Framework: A comprehensive efficiency framework integrates strategic planning, performance measurement, and continuous improvement across all management levels. Equipment Management: Advanced monitoring technologies and intelligent maintenance systems reduce equipment downtime, extend asset lifecycles, and improve reliability. Operational

Compliance: Streamlined and standardized operational regulations help ensure consistent and efficient railway operations. Policy Support: National policies encourage efficiency through infrastructure investment, innovation incentives, and performance-based reforms. The integration of artificial intelligence into railway management is an emerging trend in China. Liu et al. (2022). Artificial Intelligence Integration: AI is increasingly used for scheduling, resource allocation, and decision support, with strong potential to further enhance efficiency. Despite these advancements, challenges persist. Chen et al. (2023) observe that as the railway network continues to grow and passenger expectations evolve, new efficiency challenges emerge. They emphasize the need for ongoing innovation in management approaches and technologies to address increasingly complex operational environments.

Underpinning Theory. (1) Systems Theory of Accident Causation . The RBV provides a powerful framework for the Beijing Railway Bureau to analyze its management efficiency by focusing on how its diverse resources—from equipment health and compliance protocols to adaptive policy and AI—are strategically developed, integrated, and optimized to achieve sustained improvements. Patrick Waterson's multi-level analysis of the Morecambe Bay case (Waterson, 2019) demonstrates how organizations must coordinate resources across operational, managerial, and policy levels to achieve efficiency. Hulme and colleagues' work with complexity theory (Hulme et al., 2021) further reinforces that resource interactions in large-scale railways can produce unexpected outcomes. (2) Artificial Intelligence Theory. Potential of AI in Enhancing Railway Safety. AI is well-suited for the complex, interconnected nature of railway systems Equipment Condition & Maintenance: AI, particularly neural networks, can be used for predictive maintenance and improving non-destructive testing (NDT) methods to evaluate the operational safety of large structures like rolling stock and infrastructure (Akimov et al., 2020). AI-driven IoT technology can provide real-time monitoring of railway equipment (Zhang and Cheng, 2022). Geological and Climate Conditions: AI can anticipate and reduce risks arising from environmental and geological factors (Halabi, 2020). AI-based spatial distribution analysis and physics-informed solutions (Chen Wang and Wang, 2022; Arun et al., 2022) can be used to model and manage the effects of climate and geography on railway networks. Risk Management and Prediction: AI algorithms offer a framework for risk control in engineering that can be adapted to develop predictive models considering the complex interplay of climate, geology, equipment, and regulatory factors (Liu and Wang, 2022). Regulatory Compliance and Monitoring: AI-driven systems can be developed for safety supervision and monitoring in industrial contexts (Wang, 2022), which can be applied to improve regulatory compliance and equipment maintenance practices in railways. (3) Equipment Reliability Theory. To maintain high safety standards, railway equipment reliability theory must adopt a broad perspective, integrating advanced monitoring techniques, prioritizing system resilience, and employing sophisticated mathematical methods (like fuzzy logic) to account for the complex interplay of internal and external factors.

Bibliometric analysis.

bibliometric analysis confirms that railway management research is evolving from singular focuses toward a coherent systems approach where the effective integration of equipment status, operational compliance, and policy factors is increasingly optimized through AI-driven solutions.

Overall, reviews the pertinent literature on Definition of Equipment Status, Definition of Compliance Operations, Definition of Artificial Intelligence, Definition of Railway Management Efficiency, service and safety performance in railway management is significantly influenced by equipment status, operational compliance requirements, and policy factors. Artificial intelligence serves as a critical mediating technology connecting these elements to service and safety outcomes through real-time monitoring, passenger experience enhancement, predictive maintenance, integrated safety management, and emergency response optimization.

Methodology

This research uses mixed research methods. Research design, and research environment. Quantitative research methods are introduced in two steps, including the study population and sample, sampling method scale, data collection method, how to measure reliability and validity, pre-test sample selection and data analysis tools. Secondly, the steps of qualitative research are briefly explained. Population and Sample, For the qualitative phase, purposive sampling will identify 20 key. Sampling Logic: Purposive sampling was used to select 20 key informants, including railway managers (4), AI technology implementation experts (6), equipment maintenance supervisors (3), compliance operation specialists (3), and policy implementation personnel (4), informants who are railway safety experts, senior managers, and AI system developers. Quantitative Phase: A structured questionnaire is distributed to the sampled population, and quantitative data is analyzed to test relationships among core variables such as equipment status, compliance, policy, AI application, and management efficiency. Qualitative Phase: Following the quantitative analysis, semi-structured interviews are conducted with selected informants to explore deeper explanations and contextual factors underlying the survey results.

Data Analysis Method Coding analysis was conducted using NVivo 12:

Open Coding: Extract core concepts from interview texts (e.g., "AI shortens policy implementation cycle", "equipment sensor data is disconnected from AI").

Axial Coding: Classify core concepts into three dimensions: "AI mediating mechanism", "implementation challenges", and "optimization recommendations" ⟶ Respond to Obj2.

Selective Coding: Based on coding results, extract core modules of the AI implementation framework ⟶ Respond to Obj3.

Results

This presents the results of both the quantitative and qualitative research phases, which examine the impacts of policy factors, equipment status, and operational compliance on railway management efficiency in the Beijing Railway Bureau, with artificial intelligence (AI) serving as the mediating construct. In the quantitative phase, data were collected through 751 valid questionnaires and analyzed using SmartPLS 4.0 to evaluate the hypothesized structural relationships. The analysis provided empirical evidence that the proposed model explains a substantial proportion of the variance in AI and railway management efficiency, with all six hypotheses supported. In the qualitative phase, semi-structured interviews were conducted with selected operational staff, technical personnel, and managers from the Beijing Railway Bureau. The transcribed data were examined thematically to verify and enrich the quantitative findings, offering practical insights into the implementation of AI in railway operations. By integrating the quantitative and qualitative results, this provides a comprehensive understanding of how AI can enhance punctuality, cost efficiency, resource utilization, and safety performance, thereby contributing to the modernization of railway management in China. Study 1: Quantitative Analysis. Study Participants. The survey was administered through WENJUANXING, a reliable online questionnaire platform, ensuring systematic data collection and quality control. A total of 751 employees from the Beijing Railway Bureau were initially recruited as research participants, representing three distinct professional categories: operational staff, technical personnel, and management personnel. The Beijing Railway Bureau, which governs railway operations across Beijing, Tianjin, Hebei Province, and parts of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, serves as a critical transportation hub within China's national railway network, making it ideal research setting due to its operational complexity and strategic importance (Wang et al., 2024). The questionnaire instrument was developed through comprehensive literature review and expert validation, comprising five primary constructs: Policy Factors, Equipment Status, Compliance Operations, Railway Management Efficiency, and Artificial Intelligence. Data collection was conducted over a five-month period from May to September 2025. Quality assurance measures were implemented to ensure data reliability, including response time analysis and consistency checks. Questionnaires with excessively short completion times or uniform response patterns across all items were systematically excluded, resulting in a final sample of 739 valid responses (response validity rate: 98.4%). This rigorous screening process enhanced the overall data quality and reliability of subsequent statistical analyses. The structural model employed in this study encompasses five primary constructs, each operationalized through multiple dimensions to ensure comprehensive measurement of the research variables. Table 4.1 presents the complete taxonomy of variables, their corresponding dimensions, and standardized abbreviations utilized throughout the analytical framework. This systematic nomenclature facilitates clear identification and interpretation of construct relationships within the PLS-SEM analysis, where each dimension serves as a measurable indicator of its respective latent variable. Descriptive Statistics (1) Descriptive Statistics Result. The demographic analysis of the 739 valid respondents reveals a well-distributed sample across professional categories, with technical staff comprising the largest segment (38.0%), followed by operations staff (30.2%), management staff (25.8%), and other personnel (6.0%). Work experience distribution demonstrates organizational maturity, with the majority of participants having 6-10 years of experience (32.5%) and 11-15 years (28.8%), while employees with less than 3 years (9.3%) and more than 16 years (12.4%) represent smaller proportions (Hair et al., 2021). Geographic representation spans the Beijing Railway Bureau's jurisdiction, with relatively balanced participation from Beijing Municipality (30.2%), Tianjin Municipality (29.6%), Hebei Province (25.0%), and Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (15.2%). Educational attainment indicates a highly qualified workforce, with bachelor's degree holders constituting the majority (51.6%), followed by junior college graduates (26.1%) and master's degree holders (16.2%). Notably, artificial intelligence experience among participants shows substantial engagement, with 68.9% reporting frequent AI interaction (daily: 26.4%; several times weekly: 42.5%), supporting the study's focus on AI-mediated railway management (Chen & Wang, 2023). This demographic profile ensures adequate representation across organizational levels and geographic regions, enhancing the generalizability of findings within the Beijing Railway Bureau context. The direct effects on Railway Management Efficiency revealed moderate but significant relationships: Equipment Status (β = 0.210, t = 6.636), Policy Factors (β = 0.208, t = 6.393), and Compliance Operation (β = 0.195, t = 6.236). According to Cohen (2013), these path coefficients represent small to medium effect sizes, while the AI-to-efficiency relationship approaches a large effect. The Policy Factors-to-AI relationship (β = 0.237, t = 6.664) demonstrates that regulatory and strategic frameworks significantly influence AI adoption. The consistency between original sample values and bootstrap means confirms the stability of these estimates across resampling iterations. Interview Data Analysis and Findings The interviews involved 20 participants from diverse backgrounds within China's railway transportation ecosystem. These participants held various positions, such as senior railway managers, operations directors, AI implementation specialists, equipment maintenance supervisors, and policy compliance officers, representing different railway bureaus, high-speed rail operators, and railway technology companies with a focus on artificial intelligence applications in railway management. Their ages ranged from 30 to 52 years old, and educational attainment varied from bachelor's degrees to PhDs in transportation engineering and management. This diversity in roles, railway sectors, ages, and educational levels across different organizational scales, from regional railway bureaus to national railway operators, ensured a rich and representative sample for the study.

The analysis confirms the positive impact of three core factors on railway management efficiency: H1: Policy Factors: Strongly supported by the co-occurrence with terms like "efficiency enhancement" and "operational coordination." Effective policy, regulation, and governance create the foundation for efficient operations. H2: Equipment Status: Validated by the link to "operational reliability" and "system performance." Well-maintained equipment directly leads to improved operational performance and reduced disruptions. H3: Operational Compliance: Confirmed by the association with "safety performance" and "service consistency." Adherence to operational standards and safety protocols ensures consistent service delivery and optimal performance. The Critical Mediating Role of AI. The data strongly reinforces H4, H5, and H6, revealing that Artificial Intelligence (AI) serves as a critical enabler with complex mediating relationships. AI integration is found to enhance and amplify the positive effects of policy factors, equipment status, and operational compliance. This transformation turns traditional practices into intelligent, data-driven, and highly efficient operations, which is essential for maximizing benefits and achieving superior railway management efficiency.

Conclusion

This study, combining a 751-sample survey and 20 in-depth interviews with Chinese railway professionals, investigated the complex interplay between Artificial Intelligence (AI), Policy Factors, Equipment Status, and Operational Compliance in driving Railway Management Efficiency (RME) within China's centralized railway system. The core finding is that all three antecedent factors (Policy, Equipment, Compliance) have a significant positive impact on RME, and AI acts as a critical mediator that significantly amplifies these positive relationships.

Empirical results confirm significant positive direct effects on RME:Policy Factors $\rightarrow$ RME ($\beta=0.208$, $p<0.001$): Effective policy synergy (technological innovation, safety regulation, fiscal investment) provides a structured environment that optimizes resource allocation and drives efficiency.Equipment Status $\rightarrow$ RME ($\beta=0.210$, $p<0.001$): A strong "data-maintenance-quality" chain (sensing monitoring, predictive maintenance, high equipment quality) fundamentally improves operational reliability and punctuality.Compliance Operations $\rightarrow$ RME ($\beta=0.195$, $p<0.001$): Strict adherence to standards (technical compliance, safety enforcement, employee training) reduces errors, maintains service continuity, and enhances workforce proficiency. The Critical Mediating Role of Artificial

Intelligence.The study validates AI as a force multiplier (mediator) for all three relationships, with significant indirect effects. Contributions and Implications. Theoretical Advancement: The study enriches smart railway literature by integrating and quantifying the synergistic effects of Policy, Equipment, and Compliance, and by verifying AI's mediating mechanism specifically within China's unique centralized railway management system. Practical Guidance: The findings offer evidence-based guidance for stakeholders: to achieve operational excellence, railway organizations must focus on strengthening AI implementation to optimize policy execution, enhance equipment performance, and ensure comprehensive operational compliance. This validates strategic documents like China's "Intelligent Railway Development Outline." In short, AI is not just a technology but a critical enabler that transforms traditional railway management into an intelligent, data-driven ecosystem, maximizing efficiency and ensuring long-term sustainability in a complex transportation environment.

References

Aalmon, P. M., Hulme, A., Walker, G. H., Waterson, P., & Stanton, N. A. (2022). Towards a unified model of accident causation: Refining and validating the systems thinking safety tenets. *Ergonomics*.

Akimov, D. A., Kleymenov, A. D., Kozelskaya, S. O., & Budadin, O. N. (2020). A new approach to assessing the operational safety of composite materials and parts of complex structures based on artificial intelligence methods as part of neural networks and multicriteria non-destructive testing. *Journal of Nondestructive Evaluation*.

Dinmohammadi, F., Alkali, B., Shafiee, M., Bérenguer, C., & Labib, A. (2016). Risk evaluation of railway rolling stock failures using FMECA technique: A case study of passenger door system. *Urban Rail Transit, 2*(3), 128–145.

Johnson, K. L., & Lee, S. H. (2020). Assessing railway equipment status: Development and validation of a comprehensive scale. *International Journal of Rail Transportation, 8*(4), 356–372.

Johnson, R. A., & Lee, K. S. (2020). Artificial intelligence in transportation: Challenges and opportunities. *Transport Reviews, 40*(6), 761–778.

Naweed, A., & Evans, A. W. (2020). The economics of railway safety. *Research in Transportation Economics, 80*, 100817.

Salmon, P. M., Hulme, A., Walker, G. H., Waterson, P., Berber, E., & Stanton, N. A. (2020). The big picture on accident causation: A review, synthesis and meta-analysis of AcciMap studies. *Safety Science, 3*, 100.

Thaduri, A., & Federal Railroad Administration. (2019). *Railroad safety statistics: Annual report*. U.S. Department of Transportation.

Waterson, P. (2019). Causation, levels of analysis and explanation in systems ergonomics: A closer look at the UK NHS Morecambe Bay investigation. *Applied Ergonomics*.

Wang, J., Liu, Y., & Zhang, L. (2022). Explainable AI for railway safety: Challenges and opportunities. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 135*, 103465.

Yang, L., Wang, Y., & Liu, R. (2018). Establishing dynamic safety standards for railway operations under climate change. *Safety Science, 110*, 67–79.

Zhang, H., & Cheng, S. (2022). Application of Internet of Things technology based on artificial intelligence in electronic information engineering. *Mobile Information Systems*.

Zhang, H., & Sun, X. (2021). Evolution of railway safety regulations in China: Towards a risk-based approach. *Research in Transportation Economics, 88*, 101001.