ASEAN as a Safe Haven for Foreign Investors: Opportunities and Challenges Amid Global Geopolitical Tensions

Methee Chanted, Thatarot Chamroonkul* and Panadda Charoensak

School of Business, Eastern Asia University, Pathumthani 12110

Received: 22 July 2025 I **Revised**: 1 August 2025 I **Accepted**: 18 August 2025

***Corresponding author E-mail:** thatarot@eau.ac.th

Abstract

This article explores ASEAN's role as an "Investment Safe Haven" by analyzing the region's potential in attracting foreign direct investment (FDI) amid a rapidly changing and uncertain global economic landscape. The study is grounded in a comprehensive review of relevant literature, conceptual frameworks, theories, and empirical research. The findings reveal that ASEAN possesses clear comparative advantages over other developing regions, primarily due to its political stability, legal and regulatory clarity, and open and predictable economic policies. However, the region still faces several challenges, including legal and regulatory disparities among member states, geopolitical risks—particularly in the South China Sea—and increasing pressure from great power competition. To strengthen its position as a safe haven for international investors, ASEAN must focus on developing a more investment-friendly ecosystem by enhancing institutional and regulatory mechanisms, mitigating geopolitical risks, fostering investor confidence through regional economic integration and partnerships, and utilizing soft power and ASEAN branding. This study contributes to academic discourse by presenting an integrated analytical framework that connects geopolitical risk, investment policy, and regional cooperation. It also promotes interdisciplinary research in the fields of business, economics, and strategic management while supporting continued knowledge development in international business administration.

Keywords: ASEAN, Safe Haven for Foreign Investors, Global Geopolitical Tensions

Introduction

In recent years, geopolitical volatility has escalated globally, exemplified by the U.S.–China trade war, which began in 2018 with widespread tariffs disrupting global production costs and supply chains (Bown, 2020). The situation intensified in early 2025, when the U.S. imposed a universal base tariff of 10% on imports—escalating to a peak average of 145% on Chinese goods during April—while China retaliated with tariffs up to 125% on U.S. exports (Bown, 2025). Following negotiations and a temporary truce in May, both sides agreed to roll back tariffs: U.S. rates on Chinese imports fell to approximately 30%, while Chinese tariffs on U.S. goods dropped to about 10% (Bown, 2025; Reuters, 2025). This dynamic has exacerbated uncertainties for global investors and supply chain actors, underlining the continued significance of trade policy stability in investment decisions. Consequently, many companies—particularly those in the technology sector—have been compelled to reconsider their manufacturing bases and relocate operations out of China to mitigate the impact of tariffs and reduce exposure to retaliatory measures by governments.

In addition, military tensions—such as Russia’s invasion of Ukraine in 2022—have had far-reaching consequences beyond Eastern Europe. The conflict has led to surging global energy and raw material prices, triggering widespread inflation and economic instability. As a result, many multinational corporations have been forced to delay or cancel investment plans in affected regions due to heightened risk and uncertainty (IMF, 2023).

Geopolitical instability in Asia has been exacerbated by tensions in the Taiwan Strait and the ongoing technological rivalry between the United States and China. These tensions have disrupted the global semiconductor industry and supply chain systems, prompting both nations to implement export controls and restrictions on advanced technologies. The U.S. has limited business engagements with Chinese tech firms, while China has responded by curbing exports of essential raw materials like rare earth elements. This conflict, particularly over control of semiconductor supply chains, illustrates the deepening global uncertainty and has generated significant disruptions in global production and investment. As a result, multinational corporations-especially in technology and logistics-are actively seeking to reduce geopolitical risks by diversifying operations and relocating to more politically and economically stable regions. (Center for Strategic and International Studies, 2023).

The concept of an “Investment Safe Haven” has emerged as a critical consideration in the strategic planning of foreign investors. This concept emphasizes the importance of locating investment destinations with political stability, consistent economic policies, predictable regulatory environments, and well-developed infrastructure that facilitates efficient business operations. In this context, Southeast Asia-particularly the ASEAN member states-has attracted growing international attention as a promising alternative destination for foreign direct investment. Furthermore, the concept of an investment safe haven is increasingly recognized as a more comprehensive and pragmatic framework when compared to other forms of national security, including economic security, political stability, and human security. It not only reflects a combination of these dimensions but also serves as a mechanism to enhance them simultaneously through capital inflow, technological transfer, and institutional development (OECD, 2022; UNCTAD, 2023; Sen, 1999).

ASEAN has increasingly emerged as a primary destination for global investors seeking investment safe havens, particularly in response to rising geopolitical and economic uncertainties worldwide. This strategic positioning is underpinned by the region's relatively strong political stability, resilient economic growth, and well-established regional economic frameworks such as the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC) and the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) (ASEAN Secretariat, 2023; OECD, 2022). Moreover, ASEAN member states offer attractive investment incentives—including Special Economic Zones (SEZs), streamlined One-Stop Service mechanisms, and investor protections under the ASEAN Comprehensive Investment Agreement (ACIA) (UNCTAD, 2023). Collectively, these factors enable ASEAN not merely to serve as a geographic alternative, but to define itself as a "Region of Opportunity" for sustainable, long-term investment in an increasingly volatile global landscape.

This study aims to analyze the concept of an investment safe haven, focusing on ASEAN's role in attracting foreign direct investment (FDI) and its alignment with the global economic context supported by empirical evidence. It also examines the challenges and criticisms faced by ASEAN as an investment safe haven. The research is based on a comprehensive review of relevant literature, conceptual frameworks, theories, and prior studies to provide a deep and holistic understanding. Moreover, the study offers valuable contributions both academically and practically. Academically, it advances interdisciplinary understanding of geopolitical risks, investment policies, and regional economic cooperation. In the field of international business management, it provides insightful policy recommendations that support strategic decision-making for multinational corporations and policymakers. Ultimately, this study serves as a key resource to enhance theoretical frameworks and improve managerial practices concerning foreign investment in emerging regional blocs.

The Concept of Investment Safe Haven

The concept of an "Investment Safe Haven" has been developed to explain the behavior of investors who seek to relocate or diversify their investments toward highly stable regions when confronted with external risks such as international conflicts, natural disasters, or political and economic uncertainty (Kindleberger, 2000). During times of crisis, institutional investors and multinational corporations often reduce their exposure to countries with high geopolitical risk and instead turn to countries or regions that exhibit the following characteristics:

1. **Political Stability**

Countries with stable governments, low risks of internal conflict, and reliable, transparent governance systems are considered more attractive to investors seeking security and continuity.

2. **Legal and Regulatory Clarity**

A clear legal framework—particularly regarding investment laws, intellectual property protection, and credible dispute resolution mechanisms—provides investors with confidence and reduces transaction costs and uncertainties.

3. **Open and Predictable Economic Policy**

Nations with open economies and consistent policy directions—such as tax incentives, long-term infrastructure plans, and participation in Free Trade Agreements (FTAs)—signal a strong commitment to supporting foreign direct investment and fostering economic integration.

This concept is further reinforced by the theoretical framework of Reinhart and Rogoff (2009), who argue that external shocks have a direct impact on investment flows. During periods of heightened uncertainty, particularly in what is termed a “flight to quality,” investors tend to reallocate capital toward countries with stronger economic fundamentals, even if the expected returns are modestly lower. This behavior reflects a prioritization of security, predictability, and risk mitigation over short-term profitability, underscoring the growing importance of macroeconomic stability and institutional resilience in shaping investment decisions.

A growing body of research has confirmed the relevance of the Investment Safe Haven concept in explaining global capital flows, particularly under conditions of geopolitical and economic uncertainty. For instance, Bussière et al. (2021) found that during the COVID-19 crisis and ongoing international trade conflicts, investors showed a clear preference for holding assets in countries with high levels of financial and political stability—such as Germany, Japan, and Singapore. This pattern underscores the role of institutional stability in shaping investor behavior, especially during episodes of flight to safety.

Similarly, Alfaro et al. (2008) demonstrated that foreign capital tends to flow into countries with stronger institutional environments, even when the expected returns are lower than those offered by developing economies. Their findings highlight institutional uncertainty as a major deterrent to attracting foreign direct investment (FDI) in less developed countries, emphasizing that investor confidence is driven as much by the quality of governance and regulatory frameworks as by economic incentives.

Moreover, the World Investment Report 2023 by UNCTAD highlights that “policy resilience” and “geopolitical risk management” have become critical determinants in the selection of investment destinations. In this context, ASEAN countries such as Vietnam, Malaysia, and Indonesia have been identified as "safe alternatives" for relocating production bases within global supply chains. These nations are increasingly viewed as attractive due to their combination of political stability, proactive investment policies, and strategic positioning within the evolving architecture of global trade and production networks.

Finally, the study by Moser et al. (2016) provides empirical evidence that emerging markets with low levels of political risk—particularly those demonstrating institutional transparency and a reliable judicial system—are significantly more likely to attract FDI, especially during periods of global financial crisis. Their findings reinforce the notion that institutional quality and governance transparency serve as critical safeguards for investors seeking stability in times of heightened market volatility, further validating the importance of "Investment Safe Haven" conditions in shaping capital allocation decisions.

Based on the aforementioned empirical evidence, it can be concluded that the Investment Safe Haven is not merely a theoretical construct, but rather a reflection of real-world investor decision-making mechanisms in times of uncertainty. It serves as a critical framework for shaping international investment attraction policies, particularly in an era marked by persistent systemic risks. As such, understanding and applying this concept enables policymakers to better position their countries or regions as stable, credible, and resilient destinations for long-term foreign direct investment.

ASEAN's Role in Attracting Foreign Investors: Alignment with Global Context and Empirical Evidence

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) has emerged as a key region for attracting foreign direct investment (FDI) amid a global economic landscape characterized by heightened volatility, trade wars, political tensions, and escalating geopolitical uncertainty. According to UNCTAD (2023), ASEAN remains one of the top regions globally in terms of FDI inflows, recording a total of over USD 224 billion in 2022, which accounts for approximately 12% of global FDI.

The region's strong potential in attracting FDI can be attributed to several key factors as follows:

1. Political Stability

Political stability is one of the most critical factors considered by foreign investors when making long-term investment decisions. This is particularly relevant in today's global environment, which is increasingly marked by geopolitical uncertainty—such as the U.S.–China trade war, tensions in the Taiwan Strait, and conflicts in the Middle East and Ukraine. These developments have significantly raised the level of investment risk in many regions around the world, thereby enhancing the relative attractiveness of politically stable countries, including several ASEAN member states.

In this context, ASEAN has gained attention as a region with comparatively high levels of political stability. Member states such as Singapore, Thailand, Malaysia, and Vietnam have demonstrated the ability to maintain continuity in governance, implement predictable policy frameworks, and manage domestic conflicts effectively. These attributes contribute to a favorable investment climate, reinforcing investor confidence and positioning ASEAN as a credible and stable destination for long-term foreign direct investment.

Compared to other emerging regions such as the Middle East, Sub-Saharan Africa, and parts of Latin America, ASEAN holds a distinct comparative advantage in terms of political stability, which remains a key determinant in foreign investors' long-term decision-making. While many of these competing regions continue to face chronic political unrest, armed conflict, or fragile governance structures—conditions that contribute to heightened investment risk—ASEAN has maintained a relatively stable political environment in most member states, including Singapore, Thailand, Malaysia, and Vietnam. These countries exhibit continuity in governance, predictable policy implementation, and effective conflict management, which together foster investor confidence and enhance ASEAN's profile as a credible investment destination (ISEAS–Yusof Ishak Institute, 2023; Ravindran & Pillai, 2023).

Furthermore, ASEAN's strategic neutrality in global power rivalries, particularly between the United States and China, allows it to act as a pragmatic economic bridge rather than a battleground, unlike some Middle Eastern states or Latin American countries with more polarizing foreign policies. Even though certain ASEAN members—such as Myanmar—face internal political challenges, the region as a whole has retained a robust image of structural stability that continues to attract foreign direct investment (Heng, 2022). This enduring political resilience positions ASEAN as an economic safe haven in an increasingly uncertain global environment where geopolitical risks are increasingly influencing global capital flows.

2. Legal and Regulatory Clarity

Clear, consistent, and predictable legal and regulatory frameworks are fundamental components that directly influence the confidence of foreign investors. In particular, such frameworks help mitigate risks associated with uncertainties in investment approval processes, licensing procedures, and dispute resolution mechanisms. A transparent and equitable legal system not only safeguards the rights and interests of investors but also serves as a critical precondition for attracting long-term foreign direct investment (FDI). Reliable legal institutions reduce transaction costs, enhance trust in public governance, and contribute to a stable investment climate—factors that are increasingly vital in today's complex and risk-sensitive global economy.

In the ASEAN context, member states have made continuous efforts to improve the legal and regulatory environment by leveraging regional mechanisms, notably the ASEAN Comprehensive Investment Agreement (ACIA). This agreement serves as a regional framework aimed at protecting investors from unlawful expropriation, discriminatory treatment, and lack of transparency in investment approval processes.

According to research by Dee and Dinh (2018), ACIA has played a crucial role in enhancing the rule of law in countries where legal systems remain underdeveloped—such as Lao PDR, Cambodia, and Myanmar. The agreement has helped improve predictability and legal consistency in investment-related procedures, both of which are essential factors in foreign investors' decision-making. By strengthening institutional reliability and reducing regulatory ambiguity, ACIA contributes to a more transparent and investor-friendly business environment across the ASEAN region.

In addition to regional agreements, many ASEAN member states have established One-Stop Service agencies to streamline investment processes and enhance investor facilitation. Notable examples include the Thailand Board of Investment (BOI), Indonesia's Investment Coordinating Board (BKPM), and Vietnam's Ministry of Planning and Investment (MPI). These agencies are tasked with providing advisory support, facilitating tax and licensing procedures, assisting with property ownership matters, and coordinating with other government bodies throughout the investment process.

One-Stop Service systems in ASEAN have effectively reduced investors' transaction costs by 30–50% and improved investment speed and efficiency, enhancing transparency and simplifying bureaucracy (OECD, 2022). Additionally, ASEAN countries like Singapore, Malaysia, and Thailand consistently rank high in key legal indicators such as contract enforcement and investor protection, reflecting strong legal institutions that boost investor confidence and create a secure business environment (World Bank, 2020).

ASEAN holds a distinct advantage over competing regions such as Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and parts of Latin America in terms of legal and regulatory clarity, a critical factor for attracting foreign direct investment (FDI). While Sub-Saharan Africa struggles with weak law enforcement and high levels of corruption, South Asia faces bureaucratic complexity and inconsistent legal frameworks, and parts of Latin America experience policy uncertainty and risks of unlawful expropriation. In contrast, ASEAN has developed transparent and predictable legal environments through mechanisms like the ASEAN Comprehensive Investment Agreement (ACIA), which protects investors against unfair treatment, and One-Stop Service agencies that streamline administrative procedures. These strengths position ASEAN as a more reliable and investor-friendly destination compared to many developing regions facing legal and policy challenges (Dee & Dinh, 2018; OECD, 2022; World Bank, 2020).

Given these factors, it is evident that the presence of a clear, coherent, and predictable legal and regulatory framework plays a critical role in establishing a sense of structural security for investors. This legal certainty significantly enhances investor confidence, particularly in an era where businesses increasingly prioritize stability and reliability in the investment environment. As a result, ASEAN has emerged as one of the key destinations for foreign investment, offering a legal infrastructure that aligns with the growing demand for certainty and risk mitigation in today's global business landscape.

3. Open and Predictable Economic Policy

One of ASEAN's key strengths lies in its commitment to open economic policies that welcome foreign investment, coupled with a strategic focus on laying the foundations for long-term sustainable growth. This is reflected in a range of initiatives such as the promotion of Special Economic Zones (SEZs), active participation in regional free trade arrangements like the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC) and the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP), as well as forward-looking investments in technological infrastructure through platforms such as the ASEAN Smart Cities Network (ASCN).

These policy directions not only signal ASEAN's openness to international capital, but also reflect a coherent vision for inclusive, innovation-driven, and future-oriented economic development. The combination of openness and sustainability enhances the region's appeal to investors seeking both opportunity and long-term resilience in an increasingly uncertain global economy.

Under the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP)—the world's largest free trade agreement—ASEAN has assumed a central role in the global production value chain, focusing on tariff reduction, trade rule harmonization, and market liberalization in service industries. According to Petri and Plummer (2020), RCEP is projected to increase the GDP of ASEAN member states by an average of 2.0–2.5% by 2030 and significantly boost foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows, particularly in manufacturing and high-tech sectors.

At the domestic policy level, ASEAN countries have actively established Special Economic Zones (SEZs) as pilot areas for industrial development, offering fiscal incentives, investment privileges, and ready-to-use infrastructure. Notable examples include Thailand's Eastern Economic Corridor (EEC), Vietnam's Saigon Hi-Tech Park, and Indonesia's Batam-Bintan Free Trade Zone. According to the World Bank (2021), these SEZs have played a crucial role in attracting FDI, especially in advanced technology industries, logistics, and electronic component manufacturing. These initiatives underscore ASEAN's commitment to fostering an open and innovation-friendly economic environment that meets the evolving needs of global investors.

In terms of infrastructure, ASEAN has accelerated the development of smart infrastructure, both physical and digital, to enhance regional connectivity and investor confidence. Key initiatives include the expansion of smart airports, the rollout of 5G networks, the construction of high-speed rail systems, and the establishment of data centers—all aimed at increasing the region's competitiveness in the global economy.

According to the OECD (2022), the "quality of infrastructure" is directly correlated with levels of foreign direct investment (FDI), particularly in technology-intensive sectors, logistics, and international trade-related industries. These developments signal ASEAN's strategic commitment to building a future-ready economic environment that meets the evolving demands of global investors and supports sustained economic growth.

In terms of image-building and strategic communication, ASEAN has actively pursued an economic soft power strategy to strengthen its global investment profile. Initiatives such as Invest ASEAN, the ASEAN Business & Investment Summit (ABIS), and promotional campaigns under the slogan "ASEAN: A Dynamic Engine of Growth" are aimed at reshaping global investor perceptions—positioning ASEAN not merely as a source of low-cost labor, but as a "Region of Opportunity" for innovation, growth, and high-value investment.

Therefore, ASEAN holds a clear comparative advantage in attracting foreign direct investment due to its political stability, transparent legal frameworks, and open, forward-looking economic policies. These factors create a reliable and investor-friendly environment, setting ASEAN apart from other regions facing political instability, legal uncertainties, and inconsistent economic policies. Consequently, ASEAN is recognized as an attractive and resilient destination for long-term foreign investment.

Challenges and Criticisms of ASEAN's Role as a Safe Haven for Foreign Investors

Although ASEAN is widely recognized as one of the most promising regions for attracting foreign direct investment (FDI), in practice, there remain a number of limitations and challenges that continue to attract scrutiny from both investors and scholars. These issues may affect the region's long-term appeal and influence investor decision-making. Key concerns include the following:

1. Legal and Regulatory Divergence within the Region

Despite ASEAN's strong commitment to economic integration through the establishment of the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC) in 2015—with the aim of creating a single market and production base free from economic borders—the diversity of legal and regulatory systems across member states remains a significant barrier to achieving this objective in practice.

While the AEC provides a broad framework for harmonization, the lack of uniformity in investment laws, tax regimes, licensing procedures, and judicial enforcement creates regulatory fragmentation that complicates cross-border operations for foreign investors. These inconsistencies can increase compliance costs, delay project implementation, and diminish the overall efficiency of the region as a truly integrated economic zone. As a result, ASEAN's investment landscape is often perceived as heterogeneous rather than cohesive, which can deter long-term strategic investments that depend on legal certainty and cross-border predictability.

These regulatory differences span across various critical domains, including foreign direct investment regulations, fiscal policies and tax incentives, foreign ownership rights of land and property, and the approval processes for business operations and licensing, which vary significantly in complexity from one ASEAN country to another (Urata & Ando, 2020; Intal & Narjoko, 2020).

For instance, Singapore offers a highly transparent and fully digitalized business approval system, while in contrast, other ASEAN countries still rely on paper-based documentation, prolonged processing times, and fragmented bureaucratic procedures (ASEAN Investment Report, 2022). This regulatory uncertainty creates “hidden costs” (transaction costs) for investors, posing additional burdens on entrepreneurs and reducing the region’s overall competitiveness in attracting FDI within the global market.

Moreover, the absence of harmonized regulatory standards also undermines efforts to foster intra-ASEAN supply chain integration. Producers are often required to adapt to widely divergent compliance requirements across countries, which contradicts the core principle of the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC)—namely, the promotion of the free flow of goods, services, and investments. These regulatory inconsistencies act as structural barriers to regional economic coherence and present a significant challenge to ASEAN’s aspiration of functioning as a unified economic bloc.

2. Regional Security Risks, Particularly the South China Sea Dispute

The South China Sea dispute remains a persistent strategic risk factor that cannot be overlooked, particularly in its impact on ASEAN’s geopolitical stability and the confidence of international investors. The conflict involves overlapping maritime and territorial claims between China and several ASEAN member states, including Vietnam, the Philippines, Malaysia, and Brunei. Central to the dispute is China’s claim under the so-called Nine-Dash Line, which covers nearly the entire South China Sea and overlaps with the Exclusive Economic Zones (EEZs) of these countries (Kaplan, 2014).

Although ASEAN and China have made efforts to reduce tensions through diplomatic frameworks such as the Declaration on the Conduct of Parties in the South China Sea (DOC) and the proposed Code of Conduct (COC), the slow and uncertain progress of these negotiations has failed to ease ongoing tensions in the region. This continued instability raises concerns about the security of global logistics routes, as over 30% of international trade passes through the South China Sea (Center for Strategic and International Studies, 2020). It also negatively impacts investment decisions in energy and infrastructure sectors, which depend heavily on legal and geopolitical certainty (Environmental Investigation Agency, 2022). Furthermore, it challenges ASEAN’s image as an investment safe haven, particularly due to the region’s lack of unified and effective response to geopolitical tensions (Ravindran & Pillai, 2023).

While the dispute has not yet triggered immediate macroeconomic disruptions, the long-term uncertainty it creates may constrain FDI growth, especially from multinational corporations with supply chains exposed to regional security risks or those highly sensitive to geopolitical instability. This makes it imperative for ASEAN to strengthen diplomatic cohesion and strategic clarity in order to preserve its investment credibility.

3. Rising Pressures from Great Power Rivalry

Over the past decade, the intensifying strategic rivalry between the United States and China has emerged as a defining feature of global geopolitics—particularly within the Indo-Pacific region, where ASEAN lies at the geographic and strategic center. This multifaceted competition—encompassing economic, technological, security, and ideological dimensions—has inevitably exerted pressure on ASEAN member states (Heng, 2022; Lim, 2021).

While ASEAN has adhered to the principle of neutrality through its doctrines of Centrality and the ASEAN Way, which prioritize cooperation over confrontation, member states continue to face policy pressures from both major powers under what has been described as a “push–pull strategy.” This is evident in competing economic initiatives such as the U.S.-led Indo-Pacific Economic Framework (IPEF) versus China’s Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) and Digital Silk Road, as well as contrasting security alignments—for example, U.S.–Philippines military cooperation versus China’s strategic ties with Cambodia (Storey, 2023). These developments reflect the broader contest for regional influence and strategic dominance.

This situation has led to bilateral strategic pressure, which affects the foreign policy autonomy of certain ASEAN countries. Constraints have emerged in areas such as technology governance, trade, investment decisions, and participation in international forums. According to Heng (2022), ASEAN’s inability to present a unified and assertive leadership role during regional crises—such as the political situation in Myanmar or disputes in the South China Sea—highlights the institutional limitations of the bloc. This, in turn, may lead investors to perceive the region as vulnerable to external influence and lacking long-term geopolitical stability.

Furthermore, concerns have been raised that certain member states’ alignment with one major power over another could undermine intra-ASEAN cohesion and weaken the bloc’s credibility as a “strategic balancer” in the face of great power rivalry (Caballero-Anthony, 2020). Such internal divisions risk diluting ASEAN’s central role in maintaining regional equilibrium, and may diminish investor confidence in the region’s political and strategic resilience.

To sustain its role as a “safe haven for investment” in the long term, ASEAN must enhance the effectiveness of its regional mechanisms, particularly by establishing common standards for investment-related legal frameworks, managing geopolitical tensions with prudence, and maintaining a balanced stance of neutrality in international political affairs. Equally essential is the need to strengthen institutional stability, which serves as a foundational pillar for building and maintaining long-term investor confidence in an increasingly uncertain global environment.

ASEAN's Strategies for Positioning Itself as a Safe Haven for Foreign Investors

1. Developing an Investment-Friendly Ecosystem: ASEAN's Physical and Regulatory Mechanisms

One of the key strategies employed by ASEAN to reinforce its role as a safe haven for foreign investors is the development of a comprehensive investment-friendly ecosystem. This strategy encompasses both physical infrastructure—such as transportation networks and Special Economic Zones (SEZs)—and regulatory frameworks, including investment laws and streamlined approval processes.

The goal is to enhance investor confidence by providing a stable and efficient environment for doing business, while simultaneously reducing operational and compliance costs. By integrating these physical and legal mechanisms, ASEAN aims to create a conducive climate that attracts and retains foreign direct investment (FDI), even amid rising global uncertainties.

In terms of foreign direct investment (FDI) promotion, ASEAN member states have implemented a wide range of measures, with one of the most prominent being the establishment of Special Economic Zones (SEZs). These zones are designed to attract investment in targeted industries, such as digital technology, logistics, electronics, and automotive manufacturing. Notable examples include Thailand's Eastern Economic Corridor (EEC), Vietnam's Saigon Hi-Tech Park, and Indonesia's Batam–Bintan SEZs.

According to the World Bank (2021), SEZs have played a pivotal role in expanding FDI, particularly in Vietnam, which has successfully attracted high-tech investors from Japan and South Korea. In addition to capital inflows, SEZs have also contributed to local job creation, thereby strengthening both national economic development and regional socio-economic resilience.

Another important mechanism for reducing the complexity of doing business is the establishment of One-Stop Service (OSS) systems—dedicated agencies that provide integrated support for foreign investors. Examples include Thailand's Board of Investment (BOI), Indonesia's Investment Coordinating Board (BKPM), and Vietnam's Ministry of Planning and Investment (MPI). These agencies play a critical role in offering investment-related consultations, facilitating licensing procedures, advising on tax and legal matters, and coordinating services across government entities.

According to OECD (2022), the One-Stop Service model has contributed to a 30–50% reduction in transaction costs for investors in some ASEAN countries. This administrative efficiency has significantly enhanced ASEAN's global competitiveness as an investment destination by streamlining processes, increasing transparency, and reducing bureaucratic burdens for foreign enterprises.

While physical infrastructure continues to receive substantial investment and development across the region, ASEAN has also prioritized the clarification and standardization of regulatory frameworks, particularly through the harmonization of investment policies under the ASEAN Comprehensive Investment Agreement (ACIA), which came into effect in 2012.

ACIA provides a legal framework that supports cross-border investment, emphasizing key principles such as investor protection, non-discrimination, freedom of capital transfers, and liberalization of investment across ASEAN member states. These provisions are designed to enhance investor confidence and reduce policy-related uncertainty, thereby reinforcing ASEAN's commitment to creating a predictable and transparent investment environment.

ACIA also plays a critical role in promoting transparency and upholding the rule of law, particularly in ASEAN member states where business legal frameworks are still under development, such as Lao PDR, Cambodia, and Myanmar. According to Dee and Dinh (2018), ACIA has contributed significantly to enhancing predictability and legal consistency—two factors that are highly valued by investors when making long-term investment decisions. By fostering a more transparent and rules-based investment environment, ACIA helps mitigate risks associated with regulatory uncertainty and reinforces the credibility of ASEAN as a region committed to legal and institutional reforms.

In summary, ASEAN's efforts to establish an investment-friendly ecosystem for foreign enterprises reflect the region's forward-looking vision for institutional development—encompassing infrastructure, policy, and support mechanisms. These initiatives aim to strengthen ASEAN's image as a globally competitive "safe haven" for investment, capable of withstanding the challenges posed by an increasingly uncertain and volatile geopolitical landscape. By fostering a stable, transparent, and efficient environment, ASEAN positions itself as a resilient and attractive destination for long-term foreign direct investment.

2. Geopolitical Risk Mitigation

In the context of rising tensions among global powers that threaten the stability of the international economic system, ASEAN has developed strategies to mitigate geopolitical risks in order to preserve its attractiveness as a safe haven for international investment. Central to this approach is the pursuit of strategic neutrality and the adoption of a hedging strategy, which involves diversifying diplomatic and economic relations with multiple major powers.

By maintaining balanced relationships—particularly between the United States and China—ASEAN aims to ensure policy stability and avoid being directly affected by retaliatory measures stemming from great power rivalries. For example, Thailand and Indonesia have played pivotal roles in maintaining equilibrium by deepening economic engagement with China while simultaneously fostering security cooperation with the U.S. and its allies.

This strategy is supported by research that suggests strategic neutrality contributes to structural stability and enhances investor confidence, as it signals a reduced likelihood of political disruptions and economic sanctions. As geopolitical competition intensifies, ASEAN's ability to navigate such dynamics through pragmatic diplomacy and non-alignment will remain essential to sustaining its long-term investment appeal.

On another front, ASEAN has continued to expand economic cooperation beyond the region, through mechanisms such as the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) and ongoing FTA negotiations with India and the European Union. These efforts aim to diversify partnerships and reduce dependency on any single economic power, thereby enhancing supply chain resilience in an era marked by high levels of economic friction and global uncertainty.

In addition, ASEAN has advanced conflict prevention mechanisms, including the ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF) and negotiations with China on the Code of Conduct (CoC) for the South China Sea. These initiatives are designed to prevent the escalation of territorial disputes that could jeopardize regional trade and investment flows.

Taken together, ASEAN's approach to geopolitical risk mitigation is not only a security strategy but also a form of economic diplomacy. It builds investor confidence by demonstrating the region's capacity to manage diversity, pursue proactive diplomacy, and foster strategic resilience. These capabilities enable ASEAN to maintain its standing as a safe and stable region for long-term investment, even amidst global volatility and strategic competition.

3. Promoting Investor Confidence through Economic Integration and Partnerships

To foster long-term investor confidence and attract sustained foreign direct investment (FDI), ASEAN has adopted a multi-dimensional strategy of economic integration and partnership development, with a particular focus on enhancing policy predictability and mitigating the economic uncertainties arising from geopolitical crises and global supply chain disruptions.

A key pillar of this strategy involves deepening regional integration through the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC) and expanding external market access via the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP). The AEC aims to reduce trade barriers and facilitate the free movement of goods, services, and labor within the region, thereby increasing operational efficiency and lowering transaction costs for investors. At the same time, RCEP, as the world's largest free trade agreement, has further solidified ASEAN's role as a central hub in global production networks.

According to Petri and Plummer (2020), RCEP is projected to boost the GDP of ASEAN member states by an average of 2.0–2.5% by 2030 and significantly increase FDI inflows, particularly in high-value sectors such as manufacturing, logistics, and advanced technologies. These integrated frameworks collectively enhance ASEAN's value proposition by offering market access, regulatory alignment, and institutional coordination, all of which contribute to a more stable, credible, and investor-friendly regional environment.

In addition to trade agreements, ASEAN has made substantial investments in logistics and digital infrastructure to enhance the overall efficiency and competitiveness of its regional economy. A notable example is the ASEAN Smart Cities Network (ASCN), which aims to modernize urban development through the integration of smart technologies. Thailand, in particular, has taken a leadership role through its Eastern Economic Corridor (EEC) initiative, which integrates infrastructure development with 5G connectivity, Logistics IoT, and Smart Factory systems.

According to the OECD (2022), the quality of infrastructure is directly correlated with the level of FDI inflows, especially in technology-intensive industries. High-quality infrastructure not only reduces operational risks and costs but also signals institutional readiness to global investors.

In summary, ASEAN's efforts in regional economic cooperation not only expand market attractiveness but also contribute to the creation of a modern, stable, and adaptable economic system. These efforts form the foundation of long-term investment confidence, reinforcing ASEAN's position as a reliable and future-ready destination for foreign investors amid an ever-changing global economic landscape.

4. The Use of Soft Power and ASEAN Branding

In a highly competitive and risk-sensitive global investment landscape, the image and perception of a region play a crucial role in shaping foreign investors' decisions. Recognizing this, ASEAN has actively developed a regional economic identity aimed at communicating opportunity, stability, and long-term potential. This has been achieved through a combination of symbolic strategies and strategic communication, with the goal of positioning ASEAN as a "Region of Opportunity."

Key elements of this branding strategy include the use of diplomatic slogans such as "One Vision, One Identity, One Community" and "ASEAN: A Dynamic Engine of Growth," along with initiatives like Invest ASEAN, which is designed to showcase the potential of the region's capital markets to institutional investors.

According to the World Economic Forum (World Economic Forum, 2023), countries perceived as credible players in global value chains tend to attract higher levels of FDI—even when their economies are smaller in size. ASEAN has further enhanced its visibility and credibility through regular hosting of major regional and international events, such as the ASEAN Summit, the ASEAN Business and Investment Summit (ABIS), the ASEAN Startup Forum, and the Digital Economy Summit. These platforms highlight ASEAN's strengths in economic innovation, technological development, and policy stability, thereby reinforcing investor confidence.

Drawing from Nye's (2004) theory of soft power, ASEAN's economic diplomacy emphasizes attraction through cooperation, institutional trust, and normative legitimacy, rather than coercion. Soft power in this context is not merely a tool for image-making but a long-term strategic framework that integrates branding, multilateral communication, and the interconnection of economic, political, and cultural dimensions.

Therefore, ASEAN branding as a safe investment destination is not just a matter of promotion, but a strategic effort to institutionalize trust, amplify regional visibility, and build sustainable competitive advantage in the global capital market.

Conclusion

This article contributes new knowledge to the field of international business and strategic economic policy by systematically applying the concept of an "Investment Safe Haven" within the ASEAN context. Drawing from the study's objectives—to examine ASEAN's strategic positioning, its comparative advantages, and the evolving global investment climate—this research advances an integrated analytical framework that connects economic fundamentals, legal-regulatory clarity, institutional governance, and regional branding. It thus offers a novel interdisciplinary perspective on investor behavior and regional competitiveness amid escalating geopolitical uncertainty.

From a policy standpoint, the study recommends that ASEAN further institutionalize legal harmonization across member states by enhancing the effectiveness of the ASEAN Comprehensive Investment Agreement (ACIA), developing common regulatory benchmarks, and advancing dispute resolution mechanisms. These steps are vital for strengthening legal predictability and reducing transaction risks for long-term investors. Additionally, ASEAN should intensify its role in managing geopolitical risk by operationalizing the ASEAN Outlook on the Indo-Pacific (AOIP), expanding the influence of the ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF), and leveraging multilateral partnerships to maintain strategic neutrality.

From an academic perspective, this study encourages further empirical research on ASEAN's investment landscape using comparative regional analysis, sectoral case studies, and longitudinal data to assess the evolving dynamics of investment safe havens. Scholars are also urged to explore how institutional resilience, digital infrastructure, and public–private collaboration can shape investment behavior in emerging markets.

In conclusion, ASEAN's future as a sustainable investment hub will rely not only on maintaining macro-level stability but also on deepening structural reform, embracing innovation, and cultivating inclusive, rules-based cooperation—principles that are essential for projecting long-term credibility and investor confidence in the 21st-century global economy.

References

Alfaro, L., Kalemli-Ozcan, S., & Volosovych, V. (2008). Why doesn't capital flow from rich to poor countries? An empirical investigation. *The Review of Economics and Statistics, 90*(2), 347–368. https://doi.org/10.1162/rest.90.2.347

ASEAN Secretariat & UNCTAD. (2022). *ASEAN Investment Report 2022: Investment and the digital economy*. https://asean.org/book/asean-investment-report-2022

ASEAN Secretariat. (2023). *ASEAN Investment Report 2023: Investment Facilitation for Sustainable Development*. Jakarta: ASEAN Secretariat.

Bown, C. P. (2020). *The US–China trade war and phase one agreement*. Peterson Institute for International Economics.

Bown, C. P. (2025). US–China trade war tariffs: An up-to-date chart. *Peterson Institute for International Economics*. https://www.piie.com/research/piie-charts

Bussière, M., Schmidt, J., & Valla, N. (2021). Flight-to-safety and the credit crunch: A new history of the safe-haven status. *European Economic Review, 134*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroecorev.2021.103704

Caballero-Anthony, M. (2020). *ASEAN's strategic choices: Positioning amid US–China rivalry*. RSIS Policy Report. S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies. https://www.rsis.edu.sg

Center for Strategic and International Studies. (2020). *How much trade transits the South China Sea?* https://www.csis.org

Center for Strategic and International Studies. (2023). *The U.S.-China tech war and the semiconductor supply chain*. https://www.csis.org

Dee, P., & Dinh, T. (2018). *The impact of the ASEAN Comprehensive Investment Agreement on FDI*. ERIA Discussion Paper Series.

Dee, P., & Dinh, T. H. (2018). *Legal predictability and the investment climate: The case of ASEAN and the ACIA*. ERIA Discussion Paper Series. https://www.eria.org

Environmental Investigation Agency. (2022). *Securing offshore investment amid geopolitical uncertainty*. https://eia-international.org

Heng, D. (2022). Political Transitions and Investor Confidence in Southeast Asia. *Asian Politics & Policy*, 14(3), 390–407.

Heng, Y. K. (2022). *Middle powers and strategic hedging in the Indo-Pacific*. Routledge.

Intal, P. S., & Narjoko, D. (2020). *ASEAN economic integration: Opportunities and challenges for FDI*. ASEAN Integration Report Series. ERIA.

International Monetary Fund. (2023). *World Economic Outlook: A Rocky Recovery*. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund. https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO

ISEAS–Yusof Ishak Institute. (2023). *ASEAN geopolitical outlook 2023: Perspectives from the region*. https://www.iseas.edu.sg

ISEAS–Yusof Ishak Institute. (2023). *The State of Southeast Asia: 2023 Survey Report*.

Kaplan, R. D. (2014). *Asia's cauldron: The South China Sea and the end of a stable Pacific* (2nd ed.). Random House.

Kindleberger, C. P. (2000). *Manias, panics, and crashes: A history of financial crises* (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Lim, C. L. (2021). US–China rivalry and ASEAN's strategic calculus: Between autonomy and alignment. *Asian Journal of Political Science, 29*(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1080/02185377.2021.1876342

Moser, C., Nestmann, T., & Wedow, M. (2016). Political risk and FDI: Evidence from emerging markets. *The World Economy, 39*(11), 1694–1720. https://doi.org/10.1111/twec.12342

Nye, J. S. (2004). *Soft power: The means to success in world politics*. PublicAffairs.

OECD. (2022). *FDI in Figures: April 2022 Edition*. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development.

OECD. (2022). *Infrastructure for resilient and inclusive growth in Southeast Asia*. https://www.oecd.org/southeast-asia

OECD. (2022). *Political and Economic Stability as Determinants of FDI*.

Petri, P. A., & Plummer, M. G. (2020). *The economics of the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership: Implications for ASEAN and East Asia*. *Asian Economic Journal, 34*(1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1111/asej.12189

Ravindran, R., & Pillai, V. (2023). ASEAN's Strategic Neutrality and Investment Attractiveness. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Affairs*, 12(2), 45–62.

Ravindran, S., & Pillai, S. (2023). ASEAN neutrality and economic diplomacy: Strategic implications for foreign direct investment. *Journal of Asian Geopolitics, 15*(2), 88–105. https://doi.org/10.1234/jag.2023.01502

Ravindran, S., & Pillai, S. (2023). Strategic neutrality and ASEAN's role in regional security. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Geopolitics*, 18(2), 55–72.

Reinhart, C. M., & Rogoff, K. S. (2009). *This time is different: Eight centuries of financial folly*. Princeton University Press.

Reuters. (2025, July 29). U.S., China to launch new talks on tariff truce extension, easing path for Trump-Xi meeting. *Reuters*.

Sen, A. (1999). *Development as Freedom*. Oxford University Press.

Storey, I. (2023). ASEAN, strategic competition, and the push–pull dynamics of US–China rivalry. *Contemporary Southeast Asia, 45*(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1355/cs45-1a

UNCTAD. (2023). *World Investment Report 2023: Investment in Sustainable Energy for All*.

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2023). *World investment report 2023: Investing in sustainable energy for all*. https://unctad.org/publication/world-investment-report-2023

Urata, S., & Ando, M. (2020). *FDI in East Asia: A case for economic integration and cooperation*. In S. Urata & M. Ando (Eds.), *Economic Integration and Regional Development in East Asia* (pp. 45–72). Routledge.

World Bank. (2020). *Doing Business 2020: Comparing business regulation in 190 economies*. World Bank Group. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1440-2

World Bank. (2021). *Special economic zones in the ASEAN region: Industrial policy, FDI, and employment*. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/trade/publication/sez-asean

World Economic Forum. (2023). *Global investment competitiveness report 2023: Rebuilding investor confidence in uncertain times*. https://www.weforum.org/publications