

# **Research on the Evaluation Index System of Teacher Training Effect in Secondary Vocational Schools in Guizhou, China**

**Yaoya Xu and Ping Xu**

Krirk University, Thailand

Corresponding Author, E-mail: 1954058940@qq.com

\*\*\*\*\*

## **Abstracts**

Taking the effect of teacher training in Chinese secondary vocational schools, an evaluation index system based on transformation learning theory was constructed, and studied by in-depth interview, Delphi method, questionnaire survey, AHP level analysis, project analysis, factor analysis and fuzzy evaluation. The results show that the evaluation index system has high feasibility and effectiveness, and can fully reflect the multi-dimensional and hierarchical nature of teacher training effect. This paper provides a scientific evaluation tool and reference basis for improving the quality and level of teacher training in vocational education, and explores an evaluation method suitable for the characteristics and needs of teacher training in Chinese secondary vocational schools, thus making theoretical contributions to promoting the professional development of teachers and the reform of vocational education.

**Keyword:** China; Secondary Vocational School; Teacher Training; Vocational Education; Evaluation Index

## **Introduction**

Vocational education (Vocational Education and Training, VET) is an indispensable part of national education in China, which plays an important role in ensuring the stable operation of social economy and promoting the employment of talents. In 2019, China's Education Modernization 2035 issued by The State Council in 2019 clearly points out that it will improve the quality standards for vocational education personnel training and formulate diversified standards for higher education personnel training that keep up with the development of The Times, and draw a blueprint for a significant improvement in the level of vocational education services by 2035. At present, China has initially established the world's largest vocational education system, the domestic secondary vocational education education content, talent training direction and social talent demand basically consistent, therefore, expand the scale of secondary vocational school enrollment, strengthen secondary vocational teachers, the development of secondary vocational education, is to ease the outbreak of social employment problems, supplement social shortage of talent.

## **Research Objective**

The key to running vocational education lies in the training of teachers. The quality of secondary vocational teachers determines the skill level of talents cultivated in secondary vocational education. For a long time, however, the government led secondary vocational education teacher training still faces some obvious problems, such as regional training imbalance, talent flow is not smooth, teachers team construction lag, lack of attention to

---

\*Received: April 21 2023; Revised: April 27 2023; Accepted: April 28 2023

teachers' personal development, etc., lead to the effectiveness of teacher training, some training work become a mere formality, the phenomenon such as heavy knowledge and light practice. In this study, the author took Guizhou province, a relatively underdeveloped education level, as the index system framework for the evaluation of secondary vocational schools in Guizhou province according to the specific situation of 154 secondary vocational schools in the province.

## **Literature Review**

### **1) Constructivism of learning theory**

Constructivism learning Theory (Constructivism Learning Theory) is a new cognitive theory that has been developed in nearly 20 years and is widely recognized by the academic and educational circles. This theory is based on the cognitive theory of Swiss psychologist Jean Piaget and American psychologists Lawrence Kohlberg and Robert J. Sternberg Others on the development of cognitive structure theory, emphasize learning as a construction process, is the learners themselves positive, active, selectively to the outside information perception, under the constructivism perspective, teachers are the learners in the process of vocational education training, teachers learning process as the core based on teachers subjective explanation and experience evaluation index system, not only to examine the teachers 'knowledge and skills, but also examine the teacher's learning strategy and learning attitude, and teachers on their learning process and results of reflection and evaluation.

### **2) Educational evaluation theory**

Educational evaluation theory (Educational Assessment Theory), as a clearly oriented educational theory, has formed the fourth generation of evaluation system, emphasizing the purpose and significance of evaluation, putting forward a variety of evaluation methods and tools, and more respect the evaluation subject. For example, the four-level evaluation model of Kirkpatrick emphasizes the four levels of response, learning, behavior and outcome; Stufflebeam CIPP model emphasizes the evaluation of four dimensions: context, input, process and product; CIRO model emphasizes the evaluation of four aspects: context, intervention, feedback and outcome. These evaluation models can provide theoretical support and guidance for the evaluation of the training effect of vocational education teachers, and help the evaluators to evaluate the training effect of teachers comprehensively, objectively and scientifically.

### **3) Transformed learning theory**

Transformation Learning Theory (Transformational Learning Theory) was proposed by Jack Mezirow, an American adult education expert. This theory holds that the ultimate goal of learning is not to acquire knowledge, but to apply this knowledge in practice, and proposes four stages of the learning process: preparation stage, experience stage, reflection stage and application stage.

### **4) Related research on teacher training at home and abroad**

According to the different development modes of vocational education, the main directions of research on teacher training in domestic and foreign circles are also different. Wendy (2016 : 33-39) puts forward the performance-based evaluation standard for vocational education teacher training, believing that the core is whether to support the improvement of students' learning effect; Boswell (2018 : 43-47) believes that the teacher training is responsible between the government and schools; Angela (2020) tries to apply the "four-layer evaluation" model to the evaluation of learning response, learning effect and learning behavior in training.

The research on domestic vocational education teacher training mainly focuses on the specific implementation mode of training, including the training path, training status, training evaluation and the countermeasures of related problems. In terms of training path, Bai Bing (2017 : 6-8) summarized four secondary vocational teacher training paths applicable to China. In the analysis of training conditions, Liu Man (2019 : 13-16) and others pointed out some obvious problems in Chinese vocational teacher training, such as lack of funds, incentives and low level of training base construction. In terms of training effect evaluation, Hao Guoqiang (2022 : 87-97) thinks it is necessary to further prepare the training system involving various parties. According to the current development of vocational education in China and the specific national conditions, some scholars offer insights on the way of vocational education and training, Wang Huiting (2021 : 45-47) believed that the evaluation subject should be further expanded to make the evaluation sources more diversified; Yu Xin (2021 : 21-22) pointed out that the evaluation construction of teacher training should focus on new teachers and young teachers to make the training mechanism more flexible. By comparing the research results at home and abroad, it is not difficult to find that Chinese scholars not only absorb the advanced foreign models, but also make scientific suggestions based on the specific domestic educational practices. However, the overall lack of powerful empirical data, and the measures proposed are not operational.

## Research Methodology

Pre-stage framework selection based on the evaluation index of teacher training effect, This study intends to adopt a step-by-step research idea, On the basis of sufficient literature collation, In the early stage, we will adopt the in-depth interview method, the Delphi method, On the basis of seeking expert guidance and induction of teachers' opinions, Ensure the reasonable initial structure of the evaluation system; In the middle term, the questionnaire method, hierarchical analysis, Prepare and issue the preliminary test questionnaire according to the preliminary evaluation system, And use the AHP hierarchical analysis method to test whether the weight of the questionnaire is reasonable; In the later stage, the fuzzy evaluation method was used to organize and analyze the formal questionnaire recovery data, The scientific evaluation index system applicable to the effect of teacher training in Guizhou secondary vocational schools is finally confirmed.Put into practice



There are four phased steps summarized based on the transformation learning theory

The study on the effect of vocational education training index system, in 10 public secondary vocational schools 154 (statistics by the end of 2021) by each region 1 as a sample source, according to the school full-time professional teachers scale and the total proportion, refer to Krejcie & Morgan (1970 : 52) Determining Sample Size method to determine the specific sampling number, as shown in table 1.

Table 1: Sample selection status

| school   | Number of professional teachers | Sample number by questionnaire survey | Number of interviews sampled |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Guizhou Provincial Water Conservancy and Electric Power School | 146                             | 108                                   | 3                            |
| Hezhang County Secondary vocational School                     | 185                             | 127                                   | 3                            |
| Shuicheng District Vocational and Technical School             | 115                             | 92                                    | 3                            |
| Tongzi County secondary vocational school                      | 142                             | 108                                   | 3                            |
| Yuping County secondary vocational school                      | 82                              | 70                                    | 0                            |
| Anshun Municipal Secondary Vocational School for Nationalities | 203                             | 132                                   | 0                            |
| Weining County Secondary vocational School                     | 400                             | 196                                   | 0                            |
| Sandu Secondary Vocational School for nationalities            | 112                             | 86                                    | 0                            |
| Longli County Secondary vocational School                      | 128                             | 97                                    | 3                            |
| Guizhou Provincial Tourism School                              | 147                             | 108                                   | 0                            |
| amount to  | 1660                            | 1124                                  | 15                           |

From the sample schools, 15 teachers from secondary vocational schools who did not participate in the questionnaire survey in the later period were selected as the interviewees. In the 5 sample schools that participated in the same training, 3 teachers were selected from each school to interview face to face (see Table 2 for the interviewees). In this study, we extended the preliminary literature, compiled the interview outline, and took advantage of the opportunity of "Promoting the High-quality Development of Secondary Vocational Education Training Meeting" held by the Department of Education of Guizhou Province to conduct semi-structured interviews with the interviewees. The interview covers the basic information of participating teachers, training expectations, training gains, training results, improvement opinions and free supplement of individual teachers. In the later analysis, according to the answers of the respondents, they coded, recorded and marked the keywords, and classified, summarized and selected the important quantitative indicators that can be used for the initial construction of the evaluation system (Table 2).

Table 2: The in-depth interviews

| code name | sex   | of school age | specialty   |
|-----------|-------|---------------|---|
| 1A        | man   | 21            | Construction of water conservancy and hydropower projects |
| 1B        | woman | 13            | Construction project cost                                 |
| 1C        | man   | 24            | Power plant and power substation electrical equipment     |
| 2A        | man   | 25            | animal husbandry and veterinary medicine                  |
| 2B        | woman | 7             | Pension services  |
| 2C        | woman | 9             | Child care  |
| 3A        | woman | 13            | Electronic Commerce                                       |
| 3B        | woman | 4             | Urban rail transit operation and management               |
| 3C        | man   | 15            | numerical control technique                               |
| 4A        | man   | 10            | Automobile use and maintenance                            |
| 4B        | man   | 7             | Animation and game production                             |
| 4C        | woman | 5             | industrial art  |
| 5A        | man   | 13            | Computer network technology                               |
| 5B        | woman | 14            | High-star hotel operation and management                  |
| 5C        | woman | 11            | Clothing production and production management             |

Table 3 Analysis table of the encoding frequency of the interview data elements

| essential factor           | frequency | scale |
|----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Training needs             | 7         | 46.6% |
| target location            | 8         | 53.3% |
| Training method            | 5         | 33.3% |
| Training content           | 11        | 73.3% |
| curriculum design          | 6         | 40%   |
| persons qualified to teach | 7         | 46.6% |
| specialized skill          | 12        | 80%   |
| Industry experience        | 7         | 46.6% |
| speculative knowledge      | 9         | 60%   |

|                            |   |       |
|----------------------------|---|-------|
| management of organization | 4 | 26.6% |
| Exchange discussion        | 6 | 40%   |
| practice                   | 8 | 53.3% |

(N=15)

## Empirical Results and Analysis

Through the analysis of the results of the pre-test paper and AHP level, it is considered that the preliminary questionnaire is basically reasonable, and only modified the items of some questions according to the feedback, so as to make the questions more suitable to the index content.

### Project analysis

The item analysis section includes preliminary descriptive statistics, comparisons of extreme groups, and tests of homogeneity. Organize out the average value, kurtosis and skewness of the questions under each index. According to the preliminary judgment of the statistical data, the mean value, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness of the questions under the training background "and" training process " show no abnormality, so extreme group comparison and homogeneity test can be carried out. Results of extreme group comparisons and homogeneity tests are shown in Table 4.

Table 4 Summary of analysis of extreme groups comparison and homogeneity test

| Level 1 indicators   | Question item | t (decision value) | be interrelated | factor loading |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Training background  | Title 1       | 8.098**            | 0.610**         | 0.601          |
|                      | Title 2       | 7.403**            | 0.648**         | 0.642          |
|                      | Title 3       | 8.796**            | 0.639**         | 0.632          |
|                      | Title 4       | 7.328**            | 0.724**         | 0.73           |
|                      | Title 5       | 7.114**            | 0.609**         | 0.598          |
|                      | Title 6       | 5.612**            | 0.588**         | 0.576          |
|                      | Title 7       | 8.585**            | 0.649**         | 0.645          |
|                      | Title 8       | 7.181**            | 0.708**         | 0.718          |
|                      | Title 9       | 9.081**            | 0.704**         | 0.712          |
|                      | Title 10      | 7.951**            | 0.649**         | 0.657          |
|                      | Title 11      | 7.493**            | 0.622**         | 0.617          |
|                      | Title 12      | 7.045**            | 0.638**         | 0.646          |
| The training process | Title 13      | 7.499**            | 0.699**         | 0.709          |
|                      | Title 14      | 8.044**            | 0.612**         | 0.601          |
|                      | Title 15      | 7.657**            | 0.715**         | 0.726          |
|                      | Title 1       | 5.001**            | 0.610**         | 0.604          |
|                      | Title 2       | 6.712**            | 0.635**         | 0.628          |
|                      | Title 3       | 6.406**            | 0.635**         | 0.63           |

|                  |          |         |         |       |
|------------------|----------|---------|---------|-------|
|                  | Title 7  | 7.576** | 0.739** | 0.745 |
|                  | Title 8  | 8.987** | 0.715** | 0.717 |
|                  | Title 9  | 7.871** | 0.696** | 0.7   |
|                  | Title 10 | 6.130** | 0.641** | 0.639 |
|                  | Title 11 | 7.489** | 0.639** | 0.634 |
|                  | Title 12 | 7.219** | 0.641** | 0.642 |
|                  | Title 13 | 8.114** | 0.701** | 0.709 |
|                  | Title 14 | 7.374** | 0.634** | 0.63  |
|                  | Title 15 | 6.022** | 0.633** | 0.628 |
|                  | Title 16 | 8.412** | 0.696** | 0.703 |
|                  | Title 17 | 8.775** | 0.715** | 0.722 |
|                  | Title 18 | 6.966** | 0.621** | 0.614 |
|                  | Title 1  | 8.428** | 0.636** | 0.635 |
|                  | Title 2  | 6.231** | 0.603** | 0.598 |
|                  | Title 3  | 7.724** | 0.713** | 0.725 |
|                  | Title 4  | 7.128** | 0.670** | 0.679 |
|                  | Title 5  | 4.617** | 0.544** | 0.535 |
|                  | Title 6  | 6.138** | 0.608** | 0.603 |
| Training harvest | Title 7  | 7.988** | 0.700** | 0.706 |
|                  | Title 8  | 6.632** | 0.619** | 0.616 |
|                  | Title 9  | 8.290** | 0.648** | 0.651 |
|                  | Title 10 | 7.554** | 0.600** | 0.6   |
|                  | Title 11 | 7.115** | 0.607** | 0.601 |
|                  | Title 12 | 6.889** | 0.656** | 0.656 |
|                  | Title 13 | 8.315** | 0.579** | 0.567 |
|                  | Title 14 | 6.212** | 0.662** | 0.666 |
|                  | Title 15 | 6.458** | 0.650** | 0.654 |

(Note: N=243 \* \* p <0.01)

As shown in the figure, a total of 48 questions under the three indicators are set scientifically, which meet the retention conditions.

#### Factor analysis

Use factor analysis to test the validity of the questionnaire. The validity is the correctness of the test score, that is, the degree to which a test can measure the characteristics it wants to measure. The collation is shown in Table 5.

Table 5 Summary of the extraction and analysis of the secondary index factors

| Level 1 indicators   | First-level index, KMO | bedding                  | Secondary index, KMO | eigenvalue $\square$ | Explaining variants in the number of polyps |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|
| Training background  | 0.941                  | Training objectives      | 0.842                | 2.86                 | 47.67%                                      |
|                      |                        | training scheme          | 0.807                | 2.65                 | 53.00%                                      |
|                      |                        | Training needs           | 0.76                 | 2.219                | 55.47%                                      |
|                      |                        | Training content         | 0.888                | 3.519                | 50.27%                                      |
|                      |                        | Training method          | 0.663                | 1.846                | 61.54%                                      |
| The training process | 0.957                  | Training teachers        | 0.654                | 1.801                | 60.04%                                      |
|                      |                        | Learning reaction        | 0.815                | 2.604                | 52.08%                                      |
|                      |                        | Knowledge reconstruction | 0.5                  | 1.318                | 65.91%                                      |
| Training harvest     | 0.931                  | educational idea         | 0.798                | 2.529                | 50.58%                                      |
|                      |                        | Teaching implementation  | 0.707                | 2.001                | 50.03%                                      |
|                      |                        | Innovation and service   | 0.739                | 2.104                | 52.59%                                      |

In general, the KMO values at all levels of this study were above 0.50, reaching a significant level, indicating that they all meet the expectations of factor analysis, and the index design is reasonable.

#### Reliability verification

The Cronbach's  $\alpha$  reliability analysis was performed for each questionnaire question, and the results are shown in Table 6.

Table 6 Results of Cronbach's  $\alpha$  reliability analysis of different question items of the questionnaire

| Level 1 indicators  | Question item | Item deleted $\alpha$ coefficient | Cronbach's $\alpha$ |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Training background | Title 1       | 0.9                               | 0.904               |
|                     | Title 2       | 0.898                             |                     |
|                     | Title 3       | 0.899                             |                     |
|                     | Title 4       | 0.895                             |                     |
|                     | Title 5       | 0.9                               |                     |
|                     | Title 6       | 0.901                             |                     |
|                     | Title 7       | 0.898                             |                     |
|                     | Title 8       | 0.896                             |                     |
|                     | Title 9       | 0.896                             |                     |
|                     | Title 10      | 0.898                             |                     |
|                     | Title 11      | 0.899                             |                     |
|                     | Title 12      | 0.898                             |                     |
|                     | Title 13      | 0.896                             |                     |
|                     | Title 14      | 0.9                               |                     |
|                     | Title 15      | 0.895                             |                     |

|                      |          |       |        |
|----------------------|----------|-------|--------|
|                      | Title 1  | 0.924 |        |
|                      | Title 2  | 0.924 |        |
|                      | Title 3  | 0.923 |        |
|                      | Title 4  | 0.92  |        |
|                      | Title 5  | 0.923 |        |
|                      | Title 6  | 0.923 |        |
|                      | Title 7  | 0.921 |        |
|                      | Title 8  | 0.921 |        |
| The training process | Title 9  | 0.922 | 0.9274 |
|                      | Title 10 | 0.923 |        |
|                      | Title 11 | 0.923 |        |
|                      | Title 12 | 0.923 |        |
|                      | Title 13 | 0.922 |        |
|                      | Title 14 | 0.924 |        |
|                      | Title 15 | 0.923 |        |
|                      | Title 16 | 0.922 |        |
|                      | Title 17 | 0.921 |        |
|                      | Title 18 | 0.924 |        |
|                      | Title 1  | 0.886 |        |
|                      | Title 2  | 0.887 |        |
|                      | Title 3  | 0.882 |        |
|                      | Title 4  | 0.884 |        |
|                      | Title 5  | 0.89  |        |
|                      | Title 6  | 0.887 |        |
|                      | Title 7  | 0.883 |        |
| Training harvest     | Title 8  | 0.887 | 0.893  |
|                      | Title 9  | 0.885 |        |
|                      | Title 10 | 0.887 |        |
|                      | Title 11 | 0.888 |        |
|                      | Title 12 | 0.885 |        |
|                      | Title 13 | 0.889 |        |
|                      | Title 14 | 0.885 |        |
|                      | Title 15 | 0.885 |        |

According to Table 6, the reliability analysis results of the "training background", "training process" and "Training Harvest" questionnaires had a Cronbach's  $\alpha$  coefficient of 0.904, 0.927 and 0.893, respectively, indicating the high reliability of the questionnaire.

#### Determine the evaluation fuzzy set

The evaluation set is taken as  $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)$ , which respectively indicates the grade of the index layer: excellent, good, qualified, poor and very poor. The evaluation index system of training effect evaluation is excellent, good, qualified, poor and very poor, and the fuzzy values are 90, 70, 50, 30 and 10 respectively. The summary results are summarized and normalized, so as to obtain the membership matrix. Take the status of the effectiveness of training effect evaluation as an example, the number of excellent, good, good, general, poor, poor, very poor score is 32, 36, 39, 51, 43, respectively, its membership is [0.1592 0.1791 0.1940 0.2537 0.2139], so on the training effect evaluation research index system membership matrix

table, then the weight matrix and membership matrix together, finally get the fuzzy comprehensive evaluation matrix of the index layer, as shown in Table 7.

Table 7 Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of the three-level indicators in the training effect evaluation system

| metric   | degree of membership |        |           |        |             | grade       | grade     |
|--|----------------------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
|  | very bad             | range  | qualified | good   | outstanding |             |           |
| Effectiveness of the intended objectives                             | 0.1592               | 0.1791 | 0.1940    | 0.2537 | 0.2139      | 53.681<br>6 | qualified |
| Feasibility of the expected objectives                               | 0.0647               | 0.0746 | 0.2836    | 0.3035 | 0.2736      | 62.935<br>3 | good      |
| Training course arrangement  | 0.0697               | 0.0647 | 0.2438    | 0.2985 | 0.3234      | 64.825<br>9 | good      |
| Training equipped with   | 0.0547               | 0.0547 | 0.3433    | 0.2438 | 0.3035      | 63.731<br>3 | good      |
| Job skills improvement skills (* confused)                           | 0.0796               | 0.0647 | 0.3234    | 0.2687 | 0.2637      | 61.442<br>8 | good      |
| Requirements for professional knowledge learning (* active learning) | 0.0498               | 0.0697 | 0.2836    | 0.3085 | 0.2886      | 64.328<br>4 | good      |
| Personal Development (* Action Plan)                                 | 0.0896               | 0.0896 | 0.2289    | 0.3134 | 0.2786      | 62.039<br>8 | good      |
| Reflect the professional development trend                           | 0.0746               | 0.0498 | 0.2637    | 0.3632 | 0.2488      | 63.233<br>8 | good      |
| Vocational skills improvement needs                                  | 0.0647               | 0.0647 | 0.2836    | 0.2736 | 0.3134      | 64.129<br>4 | good      |
| School-based research and study, enterprise practice, etc            | 0.0597               | 0.0597 | 0.2886    | 0.3284 | 0.2637      | 63.532<br>3 | good      |
| Lecturer industry experience   | 0.0647               | 0.0945 | 0.2985    | 0.2388 | 0.3035      | 62.437<br>8 | good      |
| Lecturer's professional ability                                      | 0.0547               | 0.0647 | 0.3234    | 0.2537 | 0.3035      | 63.731<br>3 | good      |
| * communication  | 0.0299               | 0.0896 | 0.2488    | 0.3134 | 0.3184      | 66.019<br>9 | good      |
| teamwork   | 0.0498               | 0.0697 | 0.2886    | 0.3433 | 0.2488      | 63.432<br>8 | good      |
| * Critical reflection  | 0.0697               | 0.0945 | 0.3134    | 0.2736 | 0.2488      | 60.746<br>3 | good      |
| * Solve confusion  | 0.0647               | 0.0547 | 0.3134    | 0.2587 | 0.3085      | 63.830<br>8 | good      |
| Cutting-edge knowledge (* new knowledge)                             | 0.0547               | 0.0597 | 0.3085    | 0.2687 | 0.3085      | 64.328<br>4 | good      |
| Professional technical skills (* learning of new knowledge)          | 0.0498               | 0.0796 | 0.2488    | 0.2836 | 0.3383      | 65.621<br>9 | good      |

|   |        |        |        |        |        |             |      |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|------|
| Guide students to practice                          | 0.0547 | 0.0498 | 0.2836 | 0.2935 | 0.3184 | 65.422<br>9 | good |
| Innovation ability and other social service ability | 0.0547 | 0.0697 | 0.3134 | 0.2537 | 0.3085 | 63.830<br>8 | good |

It can be seen that the 20 three-level indicators have all been qualified above the fuzzy evaluation, indicating that the subdivided indicators are set reasonably. According to the membership data of the three-level index layer and the fuzzy matrix:

$$R = Z^*W$$

The fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of the secondary index layer is shown in Table 14.

Repeat the above operation, the fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of the primary index layer (criterion layer) is obtained as shown in Table 8.

Table 8 Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of the secondary indicators in the training effect evaluation system

| Secondary indicators     | degree of membership |        |           |        |             | grade   | grade |
|--------------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|-------|
|                          | very bad             | range  | qualified | good   | outstanding |         |       |
| Training objectives      | 0.0867               | 0.0990 | 0.2627    | 0.2919 | 0.2597      | 60.7764 | good  |
| training scheme          | 0.0660               | 0.0623 | 0.2679    | 0.2853 | 0.3186      | 64.5607 | good  |
| Training needs           | 0.0681               | 0.0708 | 0.2911    | 0.2932 | 0.2769      | 62.8030 | good  |
| Training content         | 0.0678               | 0.0599 | 0.2773    | 0.3020 | 0.2929      | 63.8457 | good  |
| Training method          | 0.0597               | 0.0597 | 0.2886    | 0.3284 | 0.2637      | 63.5323 | good  |
| Training teachers        | 0.0597               | 0.0796 | 0.3109    | 0.2463 | 0.3035      | 63.0846 | good  |
| Learning reaction        | 0.0553               | 0.0873 | 0.2919    | 0.3000 | 0.2655      | 62.6599 | good  |
| Knowledge reconstruction | 0.0647               | 0.0547 | 0.3134    | 0.2587 | 0.3085      | 63.8309 | good  |
| educational idea         | 0.0510               | 0.0747 | 0.2635    | 0.2799 | 0.3309      | 65.3027 | good  |
| Teaching implementation  | 0.0547               | 0.0498 | 0.2836    | 0.2935 | 0.3184      | 65.4229 | good  |
| Innovation and service   | 0.0547               | 0.0697 | 0.3134    | 0.2537 | 0.3085      | 63.8309 | good  |

Table 9 Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of the first-level indicators in the training effect evaluation system

| Level 1 indicators   | degree of membership |        |           |        |             | grade   | grade |
|----------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|-------|
|                      | very bad             | range  | qualified | good   | outstanding |         |       |
| Training background  | 0.0695               | 0.0699 | 0.2741    | 0.2885 | 0.2980      | 63.5096 | good  |
| The training process | 0.0594               | 0.0737 | 0.2947    | 0.2929 | 0.2793      | 63.1822 | good  |
| Training harvest     | 0.0547               | 0.0628 | 0.2849    | 0.2775 | 0.3201      | 64.9101 | good  |

It can be seen from Table 14 and 15 that in the evaluation index system of the training effect of teachers in secondary vocational schools constructed in this study, the primary and secondary indicators all obtained good scores, which can fully evaluate and reflect the training effect of vocational teachers (Table 10).

Table 10 Comprehensive evaluation of target layer

| Target layer    | very bad | range  | qualified | good   | outstanding | grade   | grade |
|-----------------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|---------|-------|
| Training effect | 0.0580   | 0.0669 | 0.2864    | 0.2834 | 0.3052      | 64.2192 | good  |

## Conclusion and Recommendations

This study aims to construct a complete evaluation index system for the training effectiveness of vocational education teachers, and to conduct an empirical study on secondary vocational schools in Guizhou Province as an example. Through in-depth interviews, Delphi method and questionnaire survey, the following preliminary conclusions were obtained in this study:

(1) Based on the constructivism learning theory, education evaluation theory and transformation learning theory, a relatively complete evaluation system of vocational education teacher training effect can be constructed.

(2) The four-level evaluation model of Kirkpatrick is a widely used evaluation model in the world, but its applicability in the field of vocational education has some limitations. This study combines the translational learning theory and proposed a more suitable for the training evaluation of vocational education teachers.

(3) Based on the empirical analysis, this study constructed a relatively complete evaluation index system of the training effect of vocational education teachers, and the weight reflected in the study shows that teachers pay more attention to "training harvest".

Based on the above research conclusions, the author believes that the designers of vocational education teacher training should pay attention to the evaluation and improvement of the training process, establish diversified training methods and training teachers, and improve the effectiveness and pertinence of the training. Individual teachers should strengthen their own career development planning, improve their vocational education quality through educational background and work experience, actively participate in various training, and apply the knowledge to the actual teaching work.

## References

Wendy, F.T. (2016). The Influences of Teacher Knowledge and Authentic Formative Assessment on Student Learning in Technology Education. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 11(1),33-39.

Boswell, W.R. & Boudreau, J.W. (2016). Employee Satisfaction with Performance Appraisals and Appraisers: the Role of Perceived Appraisal Use. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 15(21), 43-47.

Krejcie and Morgan. (1970) .*Abbreviated Table of Sample Sizes Required for Selected Population Size Educational and Psychological Measurement*. Homewood, IL: The Dorsey Pr.

Bai Bing. (2017). The value, problems and countermeasures of "Internet + vocational education teacher training" - Take the Secondary vocational education in Henan Province as an example. *Vocational and technical education*, 5 (23), 6-8.

Liu Man. (2016). Research on the school-enterprise cooperative training mode of continuing education for secondary vocational teachers. *Value Engineering*, 2 (17), 13-16.

Hao Guoqiang. (2018). Research on the quality structure and behavior index construction of teacher trainers based on work analysis. *Teacher Education Forum*, 12 (2), 87-89.

Wang Huiting and Zhao Mengcheng. (2016). Research on Teacher trainers in China: Review and reflection. *Contemporary educational science*, 2 (23), 45 – 47.

Yu Xin. (2016). Investigation and study on the competency of teacher trainers. *Teacher training in primary and secondary schools*, 3 (8), 21-22.