Asian Crime and Society Review

Original Research Article

Received: 28 November 2025 **Revised:** 16 March 2026 **Accepted:** 9 May 2026

ADVANCING POLICE GOVERNANCE: APPLYING ISO QUALITY STANDARDS TO RESOLVE SYSTEMIC CHALLENGES IN THAILAND

Manassanan KANTASRI[1], Seksin SEEMAPOLLAKUL[1*] and Kasikarn DAMRONGRAT[1]

1 Faculty of Police Science, Royal Police Cadet Academy, Thailand; mk.kantasri@rpca.ac.th (M. K.); seemapol@rpca.ac.th (S. S.) (Corresponding Author); kasikarn_d@rpca.ac.th (K. D.)

Handling Editor:
Professor Dr.Hadara BAR-MOR Netanya Academic College, Israel
(This article belongs to Theme 1: Law, Crime & Justice in the Age of AI)

Reviewers:
1) Associate Professor Dr.Ahmad MUSTANIR UMS Rappang, Indonesia
2) Assistant Professor Dr.Nuanluk SANGPERM Kasetsart University, Thailand
3) Colonel Dr.Khajornsak THAIPRAYOON Command and General Staff College, Thailand

Abstract

This qualitative study critically examines the systemic operational challenges within Thai police stations and explores the transformative potential of integrating ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 54002:2025 quality management systems. Using an interpretivist design, the research analyzes data from semi-structured interviews with 21 police executives and frontline officers, as well as a focus group of 10 governance experts. The rigorous empirical findings reveal five deeply entrenched institutional deficiencies: heavily fragmented standard operating procedures, an overreliance on individual workforce rather than sustainable organizational systems, chronic resource shortages, counterproductive quantity-driven performance evaluations, and uncoordinated digital applications. By applying ISO 54002 as a contemporary analytical lens, the study demonstrates that generic police reforms remain merely symbolic unless fundamentally linked to process standardization, citizen-centric outcomes, and robust accountability. Consequently, the article strongly advocates for consolidating disjointed digital tools into a unified core system, institutionalizing transparent operational protocols, and rebalancing performance metrics toward community trust and public value creation. Ultimately, adopting these international quality frameworks offers a strategic governance pathway to definitively shift Thai policing from short-term bureaucratic targets toward long-term sustainable institutional legitimacy.

Keywords: Police Governance Reform, Quality Management Systems, ISO 54002, Institutional Legitimacy, Process Standardization

Citation Information: Kantasri, M., Seemapollakul, S., & Damrongrat, K. (2026). Advancing Police Governance: Applying ISO Quality Standards to Resolve Systemic Challenges in Thailand. *Asian Crime and Society Review, 13*(1), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.14456/acsr.2026.8

Introduction

Police organizations worldwide face growing expectations to improve effectiveness, transparency, and legitimacy in responding to increasingly complex crime problems. In Thailand, police stations constitute the principal interface between the Royal Thai Police and the public, responsible for crime prevention, investigation, and everyday service delivery. However, long-standing operational challenges persist, including overlapping procedures, bureaucratic inefficiencies, resource shortages, reliance on individual discretion, and a performance culture that privileges quantitative outputs over qualitative outcomes (Punch, 2010). These issues impede organizational performance and erode public trust, particularly where legitimacy depends on perceptions of fairness, professionalism, and accountability (Bradford & Jackson, 2018).

Quality management systems (QMS) offer a potential pathway to address these challenges. ISO 9001:2015, the most widely recognized QMS standard, emphasizes process standardization, customer focus, risk-based thinking, and continual improvement (International Organization for Standardization, 2015). In policing, ISO-informed initiatives have improved service quality and formalized core processes, as Tari's (2006) analysis of a Spanish local police service shows. However, applying generic frameworks to policing presents difficulties. As a street-level bureaucracy, policing relies on frontline discretion exercised under uncertainty and competing demands, conditions that resist rigid scripting or narrow performance measurement (Lipsky, 2010). Empirically, moreover, little is known about how station-level practices in low- and middle-income countries align with, or diverge from, ISO-based quality management principles.

ISO 54002:2025 was developed to respond to this lack of police-specific guidance. Rather than replacing ISO 9001, the new standard provides detailed guidance on how to apply ISO 9001 within police organizations, translating its requirements into policing contexts and emphasizing organizational context and stakeholders, resource and knowledge management, integration of quality principles into core services, and performance evaluation based on citizen satisfaction (International Organization for Standardization, 2025). ISO 54002 thus links process-based quality management to broader concerns with capacity, integrity, sustainability, legitimacy, and public value.

In Thailand, national policy has repeatedly emphasized police reform. The 20-Year National Strategy (2018-2037) calls for good governance, transparency, and modernization of public sector organizations (Office of the National Economic and Social Development Council, 2018). The Royal Thai Police has pursued initiatives such as "Police 4.0" to leverage digital technologies for efficiency and citizen contact. Despite these efforts, reforms have often struggled to translate into frontline practice, where fragmented digital systems, resource constraints, and quantity-driven indicators continue to shape station-level work.

Consequently, rather than assessing formal compliance with a newly published standard, this study uses ISO 54002 as a post hoc analytical lens to reinterpret existing organizational practices, identify critical misalignments, and articulate robust directions for future reform. Specifically, the research pursues three interrelated objectives: to critically examine current frontline operational practices within Thai police stations, to systematically analyze how these practices align with the internationally recognized principles of ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 54002:2025, and to propose actionable, governance-oriented guidelines. By integrating qualitative insights from police executives and quality management experts, the findings demonstrate how translating generic quality management concepts into context-specific policing frameworks can effectively embed continuous improvement and institutional accountability within Thailand's long-term police reform agenda. Ultimately, integrating these dual ISO standards offers a transformative pathway to reframe police governance around

genuine quality, public trust, and institutional legitimacy, with significant relevance for other emerging economies facing comparable structural pressures.

Literature Review

Quality Management Systems in the Public Sector

Quality management has long been prominent in business and manufacturing, but its relevance to public administration has expanded substantially over the past three decades (Boyne, 2002; Osborne, 2010). The rise of New Public Management emphasized efficiency, customer orientation, and accountability, stimulating demand for structured quality assurance mechanisms in government services (Pollitt & Bouckaert, 2017). Within this landscape, ISO 9001 emerged as the most widely adopted standard, offering a framework for process standardization, continual improvement, and customer-focused performance (International Organization for Standardization, 2015).

In the public sector, ISO 9001 and related quality initiatives have been linked to improved service delivery, more effective resource allocation, and greater transparency (Ferlie et al., 1996; Dahlgaard-Park, 2011). However, scholars caution that public organizations differ fundamentally from private firms because they operate within political accountability structures, face diverse stakeholder expectations, and must create public value rather than meet customer needs alone (Moore, 1995; Alford & O'Flynn, 2009). This means that transferring ISO 9001 into public administration cannot be treated as a straightforward technical exercise. Instead, it requires adaptation to governance and legitimacy concerns, including how public organizations define their purposes, engage citizens and other interested parties, and balance internal process control with expectations of transparency, fairness, and equity.

ISO 9001 and Its Application to Policing

Experience with ISO 9001 in policing remains limited, but a growing body of practice demonstrates its potential. Tari's (2006) analysis of a Spanish local police service shows how process management, self-assessment, and systematic measurement can structure internal workflows and identify areas for improvement. More broadly, the development of ISO 54002 reflects international recognition that police organizations are increasingly exploring ISO 9001's process-based logic and need guidance tailored to policing's institutional realities (International Organization for Standardization, 2025).

At the same time, the literature highlights several challenges in applying ISO 9001 to police work. A longstanding tension exists between standardization and discretion: ISO 9001 emphasizes documented processes, while frontline policing depends on informed judgment in complex and rapidly changing situations (Lipsky, 2010). The customer orientation embedded in ISO 9001 is also conceptually difficult in policing, where citizens are simultaneously rights-holders, service beneficiaries, and subjects of enforcement. Thinking about security as a public good raises questions about how quality and value should be defined and by whom (Loader & Walker, 2007; Moore, 1995). In addition, studies of ISO 9000 in other sectors caution that certification may become symbolic, generating documentation and formal compliance without meaningful changes in organizational routines (Heras-Saizarbitoria & Boiral, 2015; Wynen et al., 2016). These risks are particularly acute in policing, where a superficial application of ISO 9001 could increase bureaucratic workload while failing to address deeper issues of integrity, legitimacy, and public trust.

ISO 54002:2025 and Policing

To address the limitations of applying ISO 9001 directly to policing, the International Organization for Standardization developed ISO 54002:2025, the first global guideline for applying ISO 9001 specifically within police organizations. ISO 54002 does not replace ISO 9001 but translates its requirements into policing environments, offering guidance on understanding organizational context and stakeholders, managing resources and institutional

knowledge, integrating quality principles into core police services, and using performance indicators and citizen satisfaction measures to drive continual improvement (International Organization for Standardization, 2025). In doing so, the standard links process-based quality management to policing concerns such as capacity, integrity, sustainability, legitimacy, and public value.

ISO 54002 also provides practical direction for embedding the Plan-Do-Check-Act cycle in everyday policing, consistent with Deming's original model of continual improvement (Deming, 1986; International Organization for Standardization, 2015; 2025). It emphasizes not only designing and implementing processes but also monitoring results, analyzing performance, and adapting practices in response to successes and failures. For countries such as Thailand, where police organizations confront operational inefficiencies and legitimacy deficits, ISO 54002 offers a structured way to modernize police station operations while aligning them with international expectations of transparency, accountability, and responsiveness to community needs.

More broadly, research on quality management and police reform shows that formal standards can promote or hinder organizational learning, depending on how they are embedded in daily routines (Sugarman, 2010; Wynen et al., 2016). ISO 54002 can therefore be understood as a bridge between generic management requirements and policing's governance needs. Its focus on sustainability, integrity, and continual improvement provides a foundation for moving beyond symbolic compliance toward more substantive organizational change and stronger public trust.

Organizational Theory and Quality Management in Policing

Quality management in policing must be understood within broader organizational theory. Modernist perspectives emphasize formal structure, rational processes, and efficiency, aligning closely with ISO's focus on documented procedures, clear responsibilities, and process control (Hatch & Cunliffe, 2013). Symbolic perspectives highlight organizational culture, legitimacy, and meaning-making, underscoring the need for police to cultivate public trust and shared understandings of fairness and professionalism (Manning, 2010). Postmodern and critical perspectives caution against excessive bureaucratic control, managerialism, and the erosion of frontline discretion, especially in heavily regulated environments such as policing (Chan, 1996).

Viewed through these lenses, ISO 9001 and ISO 54002 function as hybrid frameworks. They maintain a modernist process orientation while explicitly addressing symbolic and ethical concerns central to policing, including transparency, integrity, and citizen satisfaction (International Organization for Standardization, 2015; 2025). The public value framework further reinforces this point: policing must demonstrate not only efficiency but also fairness, justice, and broader societal trust (Moore, 1995). Drawing on Loader & Walker's (2007) notion of "civilizing security", quality management in policing is therefore not simply a technical exercise in process optimization. It is also a political and ethical endeavor concerned with how power is exercised, how communities are engaged, and how security, as a public good, is defined and delivered.

Regional and Comparative Perspectives

Across Southeast Asia, police organizations face similar structural and political constraints, including limited resources, hierarchical cultures, and weak external oversight, all of which complicate efforts to institutionalize modern management and quality frameworks (Bak, 2021; Bayley & Perito, 2011; Ngich & Cho, 2020; Kocak, 2018). In Thailand, reform initiatives since the mid-2000s have oscillated between centralizing and decentralizing impulses, resulting in partial and politically contested change programs rather than sustained transformation (Poothakool & Glendinning, 2013). Comparable patterns appear in Indonesia and other countries in the region, where entrenched corruption, politicized control, and fragile

accountability mechanisms have weakened attempts to professionalize policing and build public trust (Haripin & Siregar, 2016; Ngich & Cho, 2020).

Comparative research shows that reform efforts produce more durable improvements in performance and legitimacy when they institutionalize continuous learning, systematic data use, and explicit quality standards rather than relying on episodic campaigns or narrow target regimes (Mastrofski & Willis, 2010; Moore & Braga, 2003). The evolution of performance management in England and Wales illustrates both the benefits and tensions of this approach: more sophisticated metrics and inspection systems have enhanced transparency and strategic focus, while also producing friction between quantitative targets, professional judgment, and broader conceptions of legitimacy (de Maillard & Savage, 2018; Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary and Fire and Rescue Services, 2023; Perkins & Davies, 2025). For low- and middle-income countries such as Thailand, these regional and international experiences suggest that adapting frameworks such as ISO 9001 and ISO 54002 offers a promising pathway not only to improving documentation, but to embedding continual improvement, evidence-informed performance dialogue, and accountability into police station governance.

Methodological Framework and Analytical Procedures

This study adopted an interpretivist qualitative research design, which is well suited to examining complex organizational practices and the contextual translation of management frameworks into frontline policing. After receiving formal ethical approval from the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Forensic Science, Royal Police Cadet Academy (Approval No. 670404-008), the study systematically collected data through two primary qualitative methods. First, we conducted in-depth, semi-structured interviews with 21 police personnel—ranging from station superintendents to frontline patrol officers—drawn from seven stations purposively selected across Thailand's varied geographic regions based on composite workload and demographic criteria. Second, to triangulate these operational insights with system-level perspectives, the study convened a comprehensive focus group of ten purposively selected experts in policing strategy and public sector quality management.

The study then subjected the empirical data to rigorous thematic analysis. This process moved from open coding to identify recurring operational concepts, then to axial coding to cluster these categories into systemic institutional challenges, such as resource constraints and performance evaluation metrics. Finally, through thematic integration, these emergent themes were mapped deductively onto the principles of ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 54002:2025 to pinpoint organizational gaps and viable development pathways. To ensure maximum dependability, data collection continued until thematic saturation was achieved, with strict confidentiality protocols maintained to protect participant identities and foster candid institutional critique.

Research Findings

Analysis of interview and focus group data identified five major themes that characterize the organizational challenges facing Thai police stations. The study then mapped these themes against ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 54002:2025 to assess alignment and gaps.

Overlapping Procedures and Lack of Standardization

A prominent theme emerging from the data was the highly fragmented and frequently duplicated nature of operational processes across different police stations. Interviewees reported substantial variations in critical areas such as crime prevention, criminal investigation, and daily service delivery, even among stations characterized by similar organizational sizes and jurisdictional complexities. While acknowledging that some local adaptation is necessary, participants noted that many daily procedures rely heavily on informal, unwritten norms or individual discretion rather than formalized, institutionalized standard operating procedures

(SOPs). Highlighting this severe lack of uniformity, one station superintendent explicitly noted that because each station essentially operates autonomously, transferring to a new post necessitates relearning basic operational protocols from scratch. This lack of standardized procedures inevitably creates operational inefficiencies, hinders inter-agency coordination, and limits accountability, as workflows cannot be systematically monitored or evaluated against consistent benchmarks.

Reliance on Manpower over Systems

A second critical theme identified was an acute overreliance on individual officers' capabilities at the expense of systematic processes and robust organizational structures. Interviewees described daily operations as being heavily dependent on the personal skills, accumulated experience, and informal networks of specific officers—a dynamic particularly pronounced in severely resource-constrained environments. Crime prevention strategies, for instance, frequently depended entirely on informal relationships cultivated with community leaders rather than on structured, evidence-based, and replicable policing practices. Emphasizing this structural vulnerability, a deputy superintendent observed that operational success largely depends on which specific officers are deployed and their personal familiarity with the local area, resulting in a stark absence of formalized best practices. This heavy reliance on individual personnel creates severe operational inconsistencies and raises significant concerns about long-term institutional sustainability.

Resource Shortages and Budget Constraints

Resource limitations continually emerged as a pervasive barrier to effective police station operations. Interviewees highlighted inadequate technological budgets, insufficient staffing relative to heavy workloads, and strictly limited training opportunities, constraints that are especially acute in rural and semi-urban jurisdictions. Highlighting this severe operational disconnect, a deputy superintendent pointed out the glaring contradiction between headquarters' expectations for technology-driven modernization and the harsh reality of stations lacking basic infrastructure, such as stable internet connections or functional vehicles. Participants emphasized that these chronic shortages do not merely complicate daily tasks; they dictate operational priorities, negatively shape public interactions, and prevent progressive reform initiatives from surviving beyond initial pilot phases. From an ISO-based perspective, this indicates a fundamental institutional misalignment, as ISO 9001 and ISO 54002 explicitly mandate adequate human resources, infrastructure, and ICT systems to operate processes effectively. Without this foundational combination of personnel and infrastructure, efforts to implement rigorous quality management risk becoming merely symbolic.

Performance Evaluation: Quantity over Quality

A recurring, critical concern among police executives and governance experts was the profound misalignment of performance evaluation criteria. The current Royal Thai Police framework places a disproportionate, counterproductive emphasis on quantitative indicators—such as strict arrest quotas, case clearance rates, and patrol counts—while largely neglecting qualitative outcomes related to genuine public satisfaction and perceived institutional legitimacy. One participant illustrated this systemic flaw by candidly expressing frustration that officers are judged almost entirely by numerical outputs rather than their tangible contributions to community safety or public trust. This aggressively target-driven orientation invariably incentivizes frontline personnel to prioritize statistically visible activities over meaningful, long-term relationship-building with the community. From a quality management perspective, this represents a significant structural gap; ISO 9001 and ISO 54002 require systematically evaluating performance based on capacity, integrity, sustainability, and citizen satisfaction, necessitating a definitive shift toward outcome-based metrics to support institutional legitimacy.

Establishing a Sustainable Core System

Participants strongly emphasized that digital reform within the Royal Thai Police must urgently pivot from continuous, uncoordinated expansion to strategic consolidation. Despite the introduction of numerous "Police 4.0" applications designed to improve efficiency and data-driven policing, many of these digital tools overlap functionally, lack critical interoperability, or are rapidly abandoned after rollout. Consequently, officers frequently face the frustrating, inefficient burden of redundant data entry across fragmented systems. Capturing this operational fatigue, one frontline officer stressed that the force does not need more disjointed applications, but rather a single, sustainable, and highly effective core system. Informants ultimately identified the necessary development direction as integrating essential applications into a unified, long-term digital platform that seamlessly supports daily operations, including case management, evidence handling, and strategic resource allocation. This vision perfectly aligns with ISO 9001 and ISO 54002, which prioritize a stable, interoperable information infrastructure to manage organizational knowledge and ensure that critical leadership decisions are based on systematically monitored data.

Conclusion and Discussion

This study examined how Thai police stations currently organize and manage their work and how these arrangements align with the principles of ISO 9001 and the emerging ISO 54002 standard. The findings show that elements of process-based quality management are already present in everyday policing, but they are fragmented, person-dependent, and weakly connected to citizen-focused outcomes. In this section, we discuss these patterns in relation to the wider literature on quality management in public services and policing and consider what ISO 54002 can realistically contribute to such a context.

Reframing Police Quality via ISO Standards

ISO 9001 has long been promoted as a generic framework for improving the consistency, transparency, and effectiveness of organizational processes in public services. In policing, however, adoption of ISO standards has often remained narrow, document-driven, and weakly connected to debates about legitimacy, fairness, and public value. ISO 54002 extends the generic process-based logic of ISO 9001 by explicitly reframing quality in policing as the capacity to generate sustainable public value. Rather than treating quality management as a purely technical exercise, the standard connects process discipline with principles of fairness, accountability, and responsiveness to diverse stakeholders. In doing so, ISO 54002 positions police organizations as public-facing service providers whose legitimacy depends not only on lawful and efficient operations but also on how far their processes promote trust and security in the communities they serve.

Our findings resonate with this reframing but also reveal its limits. Officers in the studied stations were acutely aware that citizens judge the police less by formal plans and procedures than by the timeliness, transparency, and respectfulness of everyday interactions. At the same time, the internal performance regime that shapes their work remains dominated by numerical outputs—case counts, arrest numbers, and campaign-style crackdowns—rather than public-perspective indicators of quality. In effect, the organization communicates one set of expectations through ISO-like documentation and another through target-driven performance pressure. ISO 54002 provides a vocabulary for aligning processes with public value, but the prevailing incentives still encourage volume over quality.

Transitioning to Process-Based Policing

A second core theme concerns reliance on individual officers' experience, networks, and goodwill to sustain police station performance. Much of the work described by participants—particularly in crime prevention and community engagement—depends on personal relationships with local actors, tacit knowledge of the area, and informal problem-solving.

These capabilities are valuable and often irreplaceable. However, organizations do not systematically capture or embed them in processes. Transfers, retirements, and resource shortages therefore have disproportionate effects, disrupting initiatives and eroding institutional memory.

From a quality management perspective, this overreliance on workforce and discretion undermines both consistency and equity. ISO 9001 emphasizes that performance should not depend solely on individual competence but on well-designed processes that enable staff to produce reliable outcomes under varying conditions. ISO 54002 goes further by highlighting the need to balance professional discretion with structured processes to safeguard service continuity and reduce risks of error, bias, or corruption. The empirical material illustrates how, without such balance, frontline discretion can be both a source of responsiveness and a source of inequality across cases, communities and jurisdictions.

The challenge, therefore, is not to replace human judgment with rigid procedures, but to codify and support good discretionary practices through simple, well-understood routines. Examples include standardized triage for incoming cases, collaborative problem-solving cycles for recurring local issues, and basic checklists for high-risk tasks such as custody, domestic violence, or missing persons. These routines can preserve space for context-sensitive decisions while reducing dependence on particular individuals.

Digital Fragmentation and Performance Regimes

The study also highlights the tension between quality management principles and the prevailing performance regime. Participants repeatedly described how short-term campaigns and top-down targets distort local priorities, consume scarce resources, and encourage superficial compliance behavior. This pattern echoes critical scholarship on performance management in policing, which warns that narrow metrics can incentivize "gaming", symbolic activity, and an emphasis on what is easily counted rather than what matters for safety and justice.

In principle, ISO 9001 and ISO 54002 could stabilize expectations by defining a small set of core processes and associated indicators and embedding Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycles around them. In practice, our data suggest that existing target-driven logics easily capture quality management initiatives. Quality tools are then applied primarily to document compliance with centrally defined campaigns, rather than to support locally meaningful problem-solving and learning. Fragmented digital systems amplify this risk: officers work with multiple, poorly integrated applications that generate data for upward reporting but provide limited feedback usable for local diagnosis and improvement.

These findings underline that digitalization is not a neutral enabler of quality management. The current patchwork of systems reinforces siloed working and upward accountability, rather than facilitating integrated, evidence-informed dialogue about performance. For ISO 54002 to make a substantive difference, it would need to be linked to the design of an integrated digital core that brings together incident data, investigative actions, community contacts and supervisory oversight in near real time, with interfaces that support both frontline and managerial use.

ISO 54002 as a Strategic Governance Instrument

This pattern also highlights the limitations of technology-led reform initiatives such as "Police 4.0". From this perspective, the limitations of the "Police 4.0" reform become clearer. While Police 4.0 frames digitalization as a pathway to modernization and efficiency, the findings indicate that adopting technology without coherent process governance can create new forms of organizational fragmentation. Without agreed core processes, data standards, and system interoperability, digital tools tend to reflect and reproduce existing silos rather than overcome them. An ISO-aligned approach, drawing on ISO 9001 and ISO 54002, would reframe digitalization as a system-wide governance intervention that prioritizes process integration, interoperability, and organizational learning over expanding isolated applications. In this sense,

ISO standards function not as technical checklists but as governance instruments that translate reform aspirations such as Police 4.0 into sustainable operational practice.

The analysis suggests that ISO 54002 can serve as a genuine bridge between process-based quality management and police legitimacy only if its clauses are translated into day-to-day governance routines. In the studied stations, many practices already resembled elements of ISO 54002—such as stakeholder engagement, risk-based planning and performance review—but these were fragmented, highly person-dependent and weakly connected to citizen-focused outcomes. ISO 54002 thus provides a vocabulary and structure for reshaping existing routines around capacity, integrity and sustainability, yet the standard does not automatically guarantee fair or accountable policing. Its impact depends on how far police leaders, oversight bodies, and frontline staff use the standard to challenge target-driven, output-oriented regimes and prioritize quality of interaction, transparency, and responsiveness.

In this sense, ISO 54002 should be understood less as a technical solution and more as a governance instrument that can support, but not replace, broader reforms in accountability, oversight and organizational culture. Without such reforms, ISO-based initiatives risk being absorbed into existing bureaucratic patterns as additional paperwork and symbolic demonstrations of "modernization", with limited effect on citizens' lived experiences of policing.

Viewed in a broader regional perspective, the challenges identified in Thai police stations closely mirror patterns reported across Southeast Asia. Comparative studies document that police organizations in Indonesia and other countries in the region operate under similar constraints, including limited resources, hierarchical organizational cultures, politicized control, and weak external oversight, all of which hinder the institutionalization of systematic management and quality frameworks (Bak, 2021; Bayley & Perito, 2011; Haripin & Siregar, 2016; Ngich & Cho, 2020). In this context, the overlapping procedures, workforce dependence, and quantity-driven performance regimes observed in Thailand reflect wider regional dynamics rather than country-specific shortcomings.

Research from both Southeast Asia and comparable reform contexts further suggests that episodic campaigns and narrow target regimes tend to produce only short-lived or symbolic improvements, whereas more durable gains in performance and legitimacy emerge when police organizations institutionalize continuous learning, systematic use of data and explicit quality standards (Mastrofski & Willis, 2010; Moore & Braga, 2003). The fragmentation of digital applications identified in this study resonates with regional experiences in which technology-led reforms have multiplied reporting tools without creating integrated systems for learning and problem-solving. Against this background, the Thai case illustrates how frameworks such as ISO 9001 and ISO 54002 may offer a governance-oriented pathway to embed process integration, performance dialogue, and accountability at the police station level, with relevance beyond Thailand to other Southeast Asian policing contexts facing similar structural and political constraints.

Policy Implications for Thai and Asian Policing

Practically, the findings strongly indicate that using ISO 9001 and ISO 54002 as strategic reference points yields maximum value only when these standards are deeply embedded in a coherent governance design, rather than pursued as isolated certification projects. To do so, police headquarters must establish a focused set of mission-critical processes applicable across all stations—such as standardized case reception, community problem-solving frameworks, and rigorous custody management. For instance, implementing uniform intake procedures for domestic violence cases, complete with mandatory risk assessments and victim-centered referral protocols, would ensure that Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) routines and transparent performance indicators are firmly rooted in daily operations. Sustaining these routines also requires a fully integrated digital core that links incident data, investigative actions, and

supervisory oversight in near real time. Transitioning from multiple fragmented applications to a unified, mobile-accessible platform for evidence tracking and personnel deployment is crucial. At the same time, performance evaluation regimes must pivot away from narrow, output-based targets toward a balanced set of indicators that capture procedural fairness, effective problem-solving, and genuine community satisfaction. Ultimately, establishing robust quality management structures at both the station and provincial levels necessitates embedding independent oversight and direct mechanisms for citizen feedback, ensuring that these ISO-aligned systems continuously drive public value rather than merely fulfilling inward-looking bureaucratic requirements.

Taken together, these implications point to the need to shift from short-term, target-driven performance regimes to quality-orientated policing, in which processes are designed and monitored with a view to fairness, problem-solving, and the creation of sustainable public value. For Thailand and comparable contexts in Southeast Asia, this would mean treating ISO 54002 not as an end in itself, but as one element in a broader redesign of police governance that links organizational routines to questions of legitimacy, trust and rights.

Limitations and Strategic Conclusions

While this study offers critical insights into police governance, future research must further explore how quality management paradigms interact with the broader political and cultural dynamics of law enforcement across different jurisdictions. Longitudinal and comparative studies are essential to deepen understanding of how ISO-inspired reforms move from theoretical frameworks to meaningful improvements in citizens' lived experiences of safety and justice.

In conclusion, this research demonstrates that while foundational elements of process-based quality management exist within Thai police stations, they remain fragmented, overly dependent on individual personnel, and severely distorted by quantity-driven performance regimes that undermine sustainable public value. Using ISO 54002 as a contemporary analytical lens, the study reconceptualizes police quality—shifting the paradigm away from the mere accumulation of numerical outputs toward the institutional capacity to deliver trustworthy, equitable, and highly responsive public services. Ultimately, these international ISO standards must function not as superficial technical checklists, but as profound governance instruments. Only by explicitly linking these quality frameworks to robust accountability mechanisms, process standardization, and authentic stakeholder engagement can Thai policing transcend symbolic modernization and achieve enduring institutional legitimacy.

References

Alford, J., & O'Flynn, J. (2009). Making sense of public value: Concepts, critiques and emergent meanings. *International Journal of Public Administration, 32*(3-4), 171-191.

Bak, M. (2021). *Lessons learned from anti-corruption interventions in the policing sector in Asia*. Retrieved from https://knowledgehub.transparencycdn.org/helpdesk/Successful-anti-corruption-reforms-in-the-police-in-Asia_2021_PR.pdf.

Bayley, D., & Perito, R. (2011). *Police corruption: What past scandals teach about current challenges*. Washington, D.C.: United States Institute of Peace.

Boyne, G. (2002). Public and private management: What's the difference?. *Journal of Management Studies, 39*(1), 97-122.

Bradford, B., & Jackson, J. (2018). Police legitimacy among immigrants in Europe: Institutional frames and group position. *European Journal of Criminology, 15*(5), 567-588.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77-101.

Chan, J. (1996). Changing police culture. *British Journal of Criminology, 36*(1), 109-134.

Creswell, J., & Poth, C. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design Choosing among Five Approaches* (4th ed.). California: SAGE Publications, Inc.

Dahlgaard-Park, S. (2011). The quality movement: Where are you going?. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 22*(5), 493-516.

de Maillard, J., & Savage, S. (2018). Policing as a performing art? The contradictory nature of contemporary police performance management. *Criminology & Criminal Justice, 18*(3), 314-331.

Deming, W. (1986). *Out of the crisis*. Massachusetts: MIT Press.

Ferlie, E., Ashburner, L., Fitzgerald, L., & Pettigrew, A. (1996). *The new public management in action*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hammarberg, K., Kirkman, M., & de Lacey, S. (2016). Qualitative research methods: when to use them and how to judge them. *Human Reproduction, 31*(3), 498-501.

Haripin, M., & Siregar, S. (2016). The defects of police reform in Indonesia. *Journal of Indonesian Social Sciences and Humanities, 6*(2), 53-64.

Hatch, M., & Cunliffe, A. (2013). *Organization theory: Modern, symbolic, and postmodern perspectives* (3rd ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.

Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary and Fire and Rescue Services. (2023). *Police performance: Getting a grip (PEEL spotlight report)*. London: Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary and Fire and Rescue Services.

Heras-Saizarbitoria, I., & Boiral, O. (2015). Symbolic adoption of ISO 9000 in small and medium-sized enterprises: The role of internal contingencies. *International Small Business Journal: Researching Entrepreneurship, 33*(3), 299-320.

International Organization for Standardization. (2015). *ISO 9001:2015 - Quality management systems – Requirements* (5th ed.). Geneva: International Organization for Standardization.

International Organization for Standardization. (2025). *ISO 54002:2025 - Quality management systems - Guidance for the application of ISO 9001:2015 in police organizations*. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization.

Kocak, D. (2018). *Rethinking Community Policing in International Police Reform: Examples from Asia*. London: Ubiquity Press.

Lipsky, M. (2010). *Street-level bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the individual in public services* (30th Anniversary ed.). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

Loader, I., & Walker, N. (2007). *Civilizing security*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Maguire, M., & Delahunt, B. (2017). Doing a Thematic Analysis: A Practical, Step-by-Step Guide for Learning and Teaching Scholars. *The All Ireland Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, 9*, 33351-33514.

Manning, P. (2010). *Democratic policing in a changing world*. New York: Routledge.

Mastrofski, S., & Willis, J. (2010). Police Organization Continuity and Change: Into the Twenty-first Century. *Crime and Justice, 39*, https://doi.org/10.1086/653046.

Moore, M. (1995). *Creating public value: Strategic management in government*. London: Harvard University Press.

Moore, M., & Braga, A. (2003). Measuring and improving police performance: The lessons of CompStat and its progeny. *Policing: An International Journal, 26*(3), 439-453.

Ngich, L., & Cho, W. (2020). Addressing police corruption in Southeast Asia: Politics, participation, and public management reform. *Public Administration Issues, 5*(Special Issue I), 9-34.

Office of the National Economic and Social Development Council. (2018). *The 20-year national strategy (2018-2037)*. Bangkok: Office of the National Economic and Social Development Council.

Osborne, S. (2010). *The new public governance?: Emerging perspectives on the theory and practice of public governance*. London: Routledge.

Perkins, M., & Davies, M. (2025). Shifting oversight: the evolution of modern police performance management in England and Wales. *Public Management Review, 27*(12), 2861-2881.

Pollitt, C., & Bouckaert, G. (2017). *Public Management Reform: A Comparative Analysis - Into the Age of Austerity* (4th ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Poothakool, K., & Glendinning, T. (2013). Police reform in Thailand post-2006. *International Journal of Criminology and Sociology, 2*, 371-384.

Punch, M. (2010). Police corruption: Deviance, accountability and reform in policing. *Policing: A Journal of Policy and Practice, 4*(4), 315-321.

Sugarman, B. (2010). Organizational Learning and Reform at the New York City Police Department. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 46*(2), 157-185.

Tari, J. (2006). Improving service quality in a Spanish police service. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 17*(3), 409-424.

Wynen, J., Verhoest, K., & Demuzere, S. (2016). Quality management in public-sector organizations: Evidence from six EU countries. *International Journal of Public Administration, 39*(2), 122-134.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or a claim that its manufacturer may make is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. This is a fully open-access article distributed under the terms of the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).