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Objectives: ) to publicize academic work of faculty members, students and researchers in the Faculty of Education, Chulalongkorn University and other scholars; and 2) to exchange knowledge and ideas in the field of education

**Evaluation Results**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Criteria** | **Total score** | **Score received** |
| 1 | Thai abstract\*  | 10 |  |
| 2 | Presentation of background and significance of the proposed issues and principles | 10 |  |
| 3 | Related literature  | 10 |  |
| 4 | Presentation of details supporting the major proposed issues and principles | 10 |  |
| 5 | Presentation of subordinate issues and principles with clarity accuracy and appropriateness | 10 |  |
| 6 | Accuracy, completeness, relatedness and sequence of the contents  | 10 |  |
| 7 | Intext citation and references  | 10 |  |
| 8 | Up-to-date study | 10 |  |
| 9 | Contribution to the field | 10 |  |
| 10 | Appropriate language use  | 10 |  |
|  | Total | 100 |  |

**\* Remarks: English abstracts will be reviewed by the Chulalongkorn University Language Institute** (Please give suggestions and specify what needs to be revised on page 2)

**Evaluation Criteria**

≥90 points = Accepte for publication; No revision needed or only minor language revision needed

80-89 points = Accepte for publication with suggested revisions

50-79 points = Second evaluation needed after extensive revision

< 50 points = Reject

**Conclusion**

**When giving suggestions, please follow the attached list and note any other suggestions for revision.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Topics** | **Points to be revised** |
| 1 | Thai abstract |  |
| 2 | Presentation of background and significance of the proposed issue and principles |  |
| 3 | Review of related literature  |  |
| 4 | Presentation of details supporting the major proposed issues and principles |  |
| 5 | Presentation of subordinate issues and principles with clarity accuracy and appropriateness |  |
| 6 | Accuracy, completeness, relatedness and sequence of the contents |  |
| 7 | Intext citations and references  |  |
| 8 | Up-to-date study |  |
| 9 | Contribution to the field |  |
| 10 | Appropriate language use |  |
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